

# NORTH CAROLINA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS



## 2017 COUNTY MAP BOOK



# Table of Contents

Introduction	4	Existing Building & Site Locations Available for Economic Development	30
Acknowledgments	5	Number of Establishments Per County	31
Overview	6	Average Value of Farm and Farm Buildings	32
Data Visualization Tools and Techniques	9	Ratio of County Population to Number of Mental Health Providers	33
County Population Percentages	10	Percent of County Population Without Health Insurance	34
High School Graduation Rates	11	Number of Opiate Deaths and Percent Change	35
Educational Attainment by County	12	Percent of County Population Ages 65 & Older Living in Poverty	36
Five-Year Public School Facility Needs	13	Percent of Children Living in Poverty	37
N.C. Education Lottery Fund Distribution	14	Disconnected Youth	38
Local Current Expense Per Student	15	Average Monthly Recipients of Food Stamps	39
Average Teacher Salary Supplement	16	Food Insecurity Rates Per County	40
Low-Wealth Supplemental Funding	17	Percent of Students Eligible for Free or Reduced Price Lunch	41
Small County Supplemental Funding	18	Percent of Alcohol-Impaired Driving Fatalities	42
Percent of K-12 Students Enrolled in a Charter School	19	Premature Death Rates	43
Pre-K Enrollment	20	Tobacco Smoking Rates Per County	44
Average Income Per Capita	21	Teen Birth Rates Per County	45
Property Tax Rates	22	County Jail Average Daily Population	46
Total Taxable Property Per Capita	23	Juvenile Crime Rate	47
Average Property Value	24	Property Crime Rate	48
Counties Levying Sales Tax Article 43, 46, or Both	25	Violent Crime Rate	49
Percent of County Population Without Broadband Access	26	Median Age	50
Average Weekly Wage	27	Number of County Employees	51
Living Hourly Wage: One Adult	28	Percent of County Population Who Are Civilian Veterans	52
Percent of Unemployed Population Per County	29	Registered Voter Turnout – 2016 General Election	53

# Introduction

---

Welcome to the 2017 County Map Book!

The North Carolina Association of County Commissioners is pleased to share this year's county data maps. These data and map visualizations highlight demographic, economic, health, and educational information from North Carolina's 100 counties.

These categories and data indicators were selected based on research at the county level, recommendations from county officials and staff, and timely policy issues. We hope this information will help provide context and promote analysis for decisions at the local level.

Read the **Overview** below for more insights into the data, and then use the **Data Visualization Tools and Techniques** resource to learn how to read the following maps. To view interactive versions of the maps online, go to [www.ncacc.org/mapbook](http://www.ncacc.org/mapbook). Note that some maps include an average figure, which reflects the average of the values included in that specific dataset.

Dr. Linda S. Millsaps  
Research Director

Paige C. Worsham  
Research Attorney

Samuel I. Mills  
Research Intern

# Acknowledgments

---

The 2017 County Map Book is a publication of the North Carolina Association of County Commissioners' Center for County Research. The majority of the data come from federal, state, and local sources and each map includes a citation with the applicable reference.

The Center for County Research thanks the organizations and resources that helped with the data collection process including the N.C. Dept. of Health and Human Services, the N.C. Dept. of Public Instruction, the N.C. Dept of Revenue, the N.C. Dept. of Commerce, the Economic Development Partnership of North Carolina, the N.C. Dept. of Agriculture, the N.C. Dept. of State Treasurer, the N.C. State Board of Elections, the N.C. Dept. of Public Safety, the U.S. Census Bureau, and county staff across the state.

This publication is intended for educational and research purposes. Reproduction for commercial purposes without the written permission of the NCACC is not permitted.

# Overview

---

In our analysis, we address data related to three hot topics: economic development, children, and opioids. Be sure to watch the County Research Pulse blog on the NCACC website for more detailed assessment on these and other specific topics.

## **Economic development:**

Economic development continues to be at the top of the list of interests for county leaders. Statewide, unemployment is at 4.2%. However, challenges remain as 28 counties have unemployment rates in excess of 5%. Addressing these numbers is particularly difficult in 21 counties, as they do not have a single ready economic development site, which are described as commercial buildings or locations available and on the market.

Another economic development factor is commuting distance. Areas with the greatest proportion of residents with an extended commute are primarily near the border of the state. Some of the longest commutes are found in the far northeastern counties, as well as in Union, Caswell, and Person counties. Only Lincoln and Franklin have well above average commutes that are clearly into another North Carolina county. Examining ways for the “halo counties” to benefit more from their proximity to an urban county (defined by the NC Rural Center as counties with a population density of 750 or more people per square mile) may be a possible economic development strategy, particularly in those areas without an array of available sites.

# Overview

---

## **Children:**

Let's start with some good news. North Carolina's high school graduation rate increased to 85.9% statewide in 2016. This was a new high for the state. Moreover, 23 counties saw graduation rates of more than 90%, and two counties – Dare and Avery – had graduation rates above 95%.

This continues an upward trend of at least 10 years, significant movement given the importance of educational attainment for individual and county competition in the global marketplace.

Now to some of the challenges. The portion of students eligible for free and reduced price lunch, and the number of children in the state in poverty, continue to be of concern. Recent data suggest that 65% of North Carolina children qualify for subsidized school nutrition. While several counties have rates that are significantly below that number -- for example, Camden at 30% -- a large proportion of counties have much higher percentages. Currently, eight North Carolina counties have at least 98% of their students who qualify for the free or reduced lunch program. A number of local and federal policy choices may make this statistic a predictive indicator of low to moderate income, as opposed to poverty rates. However, according to the University of Wisconsin, at least one-third of children in 21 counties live in poverty. A myriad of studies suggest that children growing up poor are less likely to perform well in school, more likely to have poor health behaviors, and more likely to grow up to be poor adults.

A new indicator we included this year is a measure of “disconnected youth.” These are defined as teenagers and young adults, between the ages of 16 and 24, who are neither working nor in school.

# Overview

---


While data is not available for all 100 counties, of those reporting, 14 counties have at least one-quarter of their youth population in this category. Sixty-six counties have a larger proportion of their young people in this category than the nation as a whole. This is particularly important as this disconnection makes it far less likely they will be able to transition successfully to adult responsibilities.

## **Opioids:**

Another new map relates to opiate deaths. Unfortunately, in 2014-15, the most recent year with available data, six counties each lost at least 40 people to opioid poisoning. Even more troubling are the 52 counties that saw an increase in opiate deaths, three of which experienced increases of more than 300% over the previous year. Conversely, thirty counties actually saw a decline in opioid poisoning deaths, while seven saw no change year over year.

Make sure to watch the County Research Pulse blog for more analysis in the coming months! Linda





Every map will have a legend that appears in the upper left hand corner. Note the color ramp used for each interval. Maps portraying data without outlying figures will use the standard five gradient color ramp starting at "Normal lowest figures" and ending at "Normal highest figures." The Average interval will contain all values that closely approach the average up to values that reach the [unweighted] average. Any data sets with outliers will rely on one or both of the extra intervals to convey their significance.



Sometimes, a legend will have this icon beside it if there is a county (or more) in a map's data set without data, or did not report any data.

# INTRODUCTION

## Data Visualization Tools & Techniques


DAR	GHM	JON
X	X	X
MOO	STK	WAS
X	X	X
CAR	CTM	HEN
X	X	X
MAR	WAR	YAN
X	X	X
ALL	AS	HAY
X	X	X
MI	NO	POM
X	X	X

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE

This is a small scale version of the tables that will appear in the bottom left hand corner. These tables will display every county's individual figure for the following indicators. The table will be color coordinated to match the color gradient being used in the map. The counties will be arranged from the smallest value to the largest value.

Alamance:	ALA	Cumberland:	CMB	Johnston:	JST	Randolph:	RA
Alexander:	ALX	Currituck:	CUR	Jones:	JON	Richmond:	RI
Alleghany:	ALL	Dare:	DAR	Lee:	LEE	Robeson:	ROB
Anson:	AN	Davidson:	DVS	Lenoir:	LEN	Rockingham:	RCK
Ashe:	AS	Davie:	DVE	Lincoln:	LI	Rowan:	RWN
Avery:	AV	Duplin:	DUP	Macon:	MAC	Rutherford:	RU
Beaufort:	BFT	Durham:	DUR	Madison:	MAD	Sampson:	SA
Bertie:	BRT	Edgecombe:	ED	Martin:	MAR	Scotland:	SC
Bladen:	BL	Forsyth:	FO	McDowell:	MCD	Stanly:	STY
Brunswick:	BR	Franklin:	FR	Mecklenburg:	ME	Stokes:	STK
Buncombe:	BUN	Gaston:	GAS	Mitchell:	MI	Surry:	SUR
Burke:	BUR	Gates:	GAT	Montgomery:	MON	Swain:	SW
Cabarrus:	CAB	Graham:	GHM	Moore:	MOO	Transylvania:	TRA
Caldwell:	CAL	Granville:	GVL	Nash:	NA	Tyrrell:	TY
Camden:	CAM	Greene:	GRE	New Hanover:	NH	Union:	UN
Carteret:	CAR	Guilford:	GU	Northampton:	NO	Vance:	VA
Caswell:	CAS	Halifax:	HAL	Onslow:	ON	Wake:	WAK
Catawba:	CAT	Harnett:	HAR	Orange:	OR	Warren:	WAR
Chatham:	CTM	Haywood:	HAY	Pamlico:	PAM	Washington:	WAS
Cherokee:	CRK	Henderson:	HEN	Pasquotank:	PAS	Watauga:	WAT
Chowan:	CWN	Hertford:	HER	Pender:	PEN	Wayne:	WAY
Clay:	CLY	Hoke:	HO	Perquimans:	PQM	Wilkes:	WLK
Cleveland:	CLE	Hyde:	HY	Person:	PSN	Wilson:	WSN
Columbus:	CO	Iredell:	IR	Pitt:	PI	Yadkin:	YAD
Craven:	CR	Jackson:	JA	Polk:	PO	Yancey:	YAN

This table contains the list of abbreviations that will be used to reference North Carolina counties and their individual figures. These 2-3 letter abbreviations will appear on the North Carolina state layer in the following maps. They will also be used in the data visualization tables.



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

A small scale layer of North Carolina will appear in the bottom right hand corner. It represents the percentage of NC's population that each county holds (NOTE: not population density). It is important to reflect on each county's population percentage because, often, this figure correlates with data sets (such as raw counts) and provides context for the broader map.



# INTRODUCTION: COUNTY POPULATION PERCENTAGES (2016)


Population Percentage

0.04% - 0.9% (69)

1% - 3.7% (28)

5.1% - 10.4% (3)

↑ LEGEND




TY	CAM	GAT	PQM	WAS	MI	PAM	CWN	HY	JON	← COUNTY NAME
0.04%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	← FIGURE
CLY	GHM	SW	ALL	BRT	MAD	MAR	NO	YAN	CAS	
0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	
HER	PO	WAR	GRE	AV	CUR	TRA	SC	AN	CRK	
0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	
BL	MON	AS	MAC	DVE	PSN	ALX	MCD	PAS	VA	
0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	
DAR	YAD	RI	JA	WAT	STK	HAL	ED	BFT	HO	
0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	
GVL	STY	HAY	PEN	LEN	LEE	FR	CO	SA	DUP	
0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	
CTM	CAR	RU	WLK	SUR	LI	CAL	WSN	BUR	CR	
0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.9%	1%	
CLE	MOO	NA	RCK	HEN	WAY	HAR	BR	ROB	OR	
1%	1%	1%	1%	1.1%	1.2%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	1.4%	
RA	RWN	CAT	DVS	ALA	PI	IR	ON	JST	CAB	
1.4%	1.4%	1.5%	1.6%	1.6%	1.7%	1.7%	1.8%	1.9%	2%	
GAS	UN	NH	BUN	DUR	CMB	FO	GU	WAK	ME	
2.1%	2.2%	2.2%	2.5%	3%	3.2%	3.7%	5.1%	10.3%	10.4%	

Note: Percentages were estimated based on a county's number of citizens compared to the number of citizens in the state of North Carolina. Figures do not represent population density. This particular map will appear at the bottom right corner of the following pages on a smaller scale. It should serve as a frame of reference for the population of North Carolina's 100 counties whilst analyzing the following data sets. Some figures in the following maps, specifically raw counts, are reflected in the population percentage that a particular county represents.




# HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION RATES (2016)

*Four Year  
Graduation Rate (%)*



↑ LEGEND




WAR	WSN	NO	HAL	MAR	CAS	PSN	ED	LEN	HO
70.4%	73%	73.2%	73.4%	75%	75.4%	76.3%	78.6%	79.4%	79.5%
RCK	SW	WAS	HAR	SA	BRT	ALA	NA	RU	RI
79.9%	79.9%	80.3%	80.3%	80.9%	81%	81%	81.1%	81.2%	81.2%
BFT	CO	MCD	FR	CLY	CMB	VA	DUR	AN	SC
81.3%	81.5%	81.6%	81.6%	81.6%	81.9%	81.9%	82.3%	82.3%	82.5%
HAY	BR	PI	DUP	GVL	STY	NH	RWN	WAY	AS
82.6%	82.8%	83%	83.1%	83.2%	83.2%	83.3%	83.3%	83.8%	84%
MI	TRA	MAD	ALL	CR	BUN	FO	DVS	HER	ROB
84.2%	84.5%	85%	85%	85.7%	85.7%	85.7%	85.9%	85.9%	85.9%
ALX	GHM	IR	PAS	BL	CTM	JA	YAD	WAK	GAT
86%	86%	86.4%	86.4%	86.5%	86.7%	86.7%	86.9%	87.1%	87.1%
PO	DVE	CAR	MON	WLK	MOO	GAS	RA	CLE	LEE
87.2%	87.3%	87.3%	87.5%	87.6%	87.8%	88%	88.1%	88.2%	89.2%
OR	GU	CAM	CAB	ME	STK	CAT	JST	SUR	ON
89.3%	89.4%	89.5%	89.5%	89.6%	89.7%	89.8%	90.1%	90.1%	90.2%
PAM	PEN	PQM	CWN	LI	WAT	CAL	HEN	CUR	BUR
90.2%	90.3%	90.5%	90.5%	90.6%	90.8%	90.8%	90.9%	91.3%	91.3%
HY	CRK	YAN	TY	MAC	UN	JON	GRE	DAR	AV
91.3%	91.4%	91.8%	91.9%	92.6%	92.8%	93.2%	93.3%	>95%	>95%

← COUNTY NAME

← FIGURE

Population Percentage




Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

*Note: The Four Year Graduation Rate is the percentage of students graduating from high school after 4 years in each district. The unweighted average for this indicator is 85%.*




# EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT PER COUNTY; BACHELOR'S DEGREE



Population with Bachelor's Degree (%)


LEGEND



TY	WAS	AN	GRE	ED	NO	DUP	BRT	CAS	JON
4%	6%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	8%	8%
CO	VA	YAD	HY	HAL	GAT	LEN	RI	SA	HER
8%	8%	8%	8%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%
ROB	WLK	ALX	WAR	MCD	BL	CAL	GHM	CLE	RCK
9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	10%	10%	10%	10%
MAR	SUR	SC	STK	RU	RA	MON	BUR	SW	MI
10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%
AV	BFT	PSN	STY	CRK	ALL	CWN	CLY	RWN	PAS
11%	11%	11%	11%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%
PQM	GVL	WSN	AS	PAM	MAD	YAN	HAR	WAY	DVS
12%	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	14%
GAS	ON	FR	NA	PEN	LI	CAM	CAT	CR	HAY
14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	15%	15%	15%	15%
LEE	JST	ALA	HO	MAC	CMB	CUR	CAR	DVE	BR
15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	16%	16%	17%	17%
TRA	JA	IR	HEN	PO	CAB	CTM	PI	MOO	DAR
17%	18%	18%	18%	19%	19%	19%	19%	20%	20%
FO	WAT	BUN	GU	UN	DUR	NH	OR	ME	WAK
21%	21%	22%	22%	24%	25%	25%	25%	28%	31%

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE

Population Percentage 0.04- 0.9% 1- 3.7% 5.1- 10.4%



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: The figures on this map represent educational attainment by county. Specifically, this indicator represents the percentage of a county whose highest form of education is a bachelor's degree. The unweighted average for this indicator is 13%.






# NC EDUCATION LOTTERY FUND DISTRIBUTION (2016-17)

\$39,961- \$40,435 (2)
\$61,161- \$199,331 (28)
\$207,324- \$434,560 (32)
\$470,051- \$856,522 [AVG] (24)
\$862,347- \$1,792,017 (19)
\$2,144,691- \$4,856,965 (8)
\$10,088,548- \$10,800,464 (2)


Lottery Fund Distribution (\$)



AVC: Asheville City Schools	CHC: Chapel Hill- Carrboro City Schools	HIC: Hickory City Schools	MSV: Mooresville City Schools	NCC: Newton- Conover City Schools
CLI: Clinton City Schools	ABC: Asheboro City Schools	ELK: Elkin City Schools	TSV: Thomasville City Schools	MTA: Mount Airy City Schools
KAN: Kannapolis City Schools	LEX: Lexington City Schools	WEL: Weldon City Schools	RRC: Roanoke Rapids City Schools	WVC: Whiteville City Schools

TY	HY	WEL	JON	GHM	ELK	PAM	CLY	ALL	WAS
\$39,961	\$40,435	\$61,161	\$74,504	\$80,667	\$81,345	\$89,134	\$90,556	\$95,500	\$108,843
MTA	GAT	PQM	CAM	NO	MI	SW	CWN	AV	PO
\$109,114	\$110,875	\$116,158	\$123,676	\$126,318	\$128,891	\$132,210	\$141,015	\$142,167	\$147,991
YAN	WVC	WAR	BRT	TSV	MAD	CAS	HAL	RRC	HER
\$150,091	\$152,055	\$154,087	\$156,864	\$162,215	\$164,179	\$184,092	\$185,921	\$194,387	\$199,331
CLI	LEX	AS	NCC	GRE	MAR	CRK	AN	TRA	JA
\$207,324	\$208,678	\$210,642	\$211,320	\$214,638	\$224,459	\$230,081	\$233,332	\$236,041	\$254,735
MON	CUR	HIC	WAT	MAC	AVC	PSN	BL	ABC	ALX
\$272,209	\$273,225	\$291,580	\$296,051	\$297,134	\$304,856	\$312,306	\$315,693	\$321,314	\$338,111
DAR	KAN	YAD	PAS	SC	ED	CO	MSV	STK	MCD
\$339,331	\$362,697	\$365,610	\$394,328	\$397,985	\$403,946	\$404,420	\$412,006	\$419,253	\$423,926
DVE	VA	BFT	HAY	RI	OR	GVL	SUR	RU	CAR
\$427,990	\$434,560	\$470,051	\$486,712	\$503,577	\$511,434	\$539,204	\$556,407	\$561,623	\$568,328
SA	HO	FR	STY	CTM	LEN	PEN	WLK	DUP	LEE
\$573,272	\$579,232	\$580,181	\$581,264	\$583,025	\$607,205	\$623,732	\$654,414	\$667,824	\$681,844
LI	CHC	CAL	WSN	BUR	BR	RCK	MOO	HEN	CR
\$779,106	\$813,919	\$818,728	\$827,059	\$843,111	\$854,625	\$862,347	\$870,271	\$928,994	\$958,524
CLE	NA	CAT	RA	WAY	DVS	RWN	IR	HAR	ALA
\$1,018,195	\$1,059,036	\$1,115,185	\$1,205,538	\$1,275,097	\$1,312,755	\$1,335,987	\$1,405,682	\$1,412,184	\$1,541,820
ROB	PI	BUN	ON	NH	GAS	CAB	DUR	JST	UN
\$1,586,726	\$1,604,200	\$1,672,066	\$1,790,663	\$1,792,017	\$2,144,691	\$2,163,385	\$2,303,723	\$2,369,557	\$2,863,516
CMB	FO	GU	ME	WAK					
\$3,417,621	\$3,694,842	\$4,856,965	\$10,088,548	\$10,800,464					

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: Estimated NC Education Lottery Fund Distribution is the amount of money estimated to be allocated to each school district from lottery proceeds. Total monies that can be allocated to all 115 school districts in NC are capped at \$100 million out of the total amount raised by the lottery. Lottery funds are distributed based on ADM.




# LOCAL CURRENT EXPENSE PER STUDENT (2016-17)

\$435- \$892 (8)
\$957- \$1,372 (27)
\$1,453- \$1,705 [AVG] (26)
\$1,706- \$2,909 (32)
\$3,279- \$3,945 (4)
\$4,436 (1)

Expense  
Per Student (\$)

DID NOT REPORT (2)



LEGEND



CLY	TY	SW	GHM	ROB	HO	CLE	GRE	HAL	CO
		\$435	\$479	\$528	\$564	\$659	\$731	\$844	\$892
CAS	DUP	SA	WAS	RI	RA	MAD	AN	SUR	WAY
\$957	\$960	\$967	\$998	\$1,007	\$1,012	\$1,059	\$1,072	\$1,074	\$1,081
HAR	LEN	YAD	STY	MI	ALX	WLK	DVS	CAL	BUR
\$1,087	\$1,127	\$1,130	\$1,183	\$1,194	\$1,208	\$1,219	\$1,222	\$1,224	\$1,232
RCK	CAM	VA	BRT	MCD	MON	YAN	BL	GAS	NA
\$1,244	\$1,260	\$1,283	\$1,297	\$1,342	\$1,358	\$1,372	\$1,453	\$1,468	\$1,472
AS	HER	PEN	CAT	CR	LI	CMB	JST	WSN	PI
\$1,493	\$1,495	\$1,531	\$1,548	\$1,548	\$1,549	\$1,560	\$1,582	\$1,595	\$1,613
JON	ED	PQM	STK	MAC	RU	DVE	GAT	IR	LEE
\$1,614	\$1,616	\$1,618	\$1,618	\$1,627	\$1,640	\$1,652	\$1,654	\$1,666	\$1,675
ALA	CWN	GVL	MAR	PAS	ON	HEN	FR	SC	JA
\$1,681	\$1,700	\$1,705	\$1,706	\$1,718	\$1,768	\$1,773	\$1,782	\$1,801	\$1,815
ALL	CAB	CRK	NO	PSN	BFT	FO	HAY	AV	MOO
\$1,839	\$1,854	\$1,927	\$1,957	\$2,030	\$2,061	\$2,067	\$2,098	\$2,101	\$2,104
RWN	WAR	UN	PO	BUN	CUR	WAK	GU	HY	PAM
\$2,158	\$2,179	\$2,246	\$2,348	\$2,375	\$2,423	\$2,563	\$2,627	\$2,639	\$2,665
CAR	NH	ME	BR	WAT	TRA	CTM	DUR	OR	DAR
\$2,679	\$2,754	\$2,776	\$2,806	\$2,909	\$3,279	\$3,401	\$3,784	\$3,945	\$4,436

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE

Population Percentage



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016


Note: Local County-Contributed Current Expense Per Student is the amount of money reportedly allocated to be spent on each individual student by the county over the course of the school year. This map does not include charter school funding.



# AVERAGE TEACHER SALARY SUPPLEMENT (2016-17)

\$0 (6)
\$100- \$884 (10)
\$1,000- \$1,954 (29)
\$2,053- \$2,516 [AVG] (19)
\$2,548- \$3,572 (27)
\$3,741- \$4,780 (19)
\$6,358- \$8,485 (5)


Average Teacher Salary Supplement (\$)



BRT	CLY	GHM	HAL	SW	WEL	MI	ALL	MAD	YAN
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100	\$200	\$250	\$300
AS	GAT	WAS	HY	JA	MAC	CRK	GRE	TY	CAS
\$500	\$550	\$600	\$647	\$863	\$884	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,026
AN	RI	PQM	RU	MAR	MCD	HER	NO	AV	MON
\$1,043	\$1,104	\$1,108	\$1,157	\$1,200	\$1,288	\$1,336	\$1,341	\$1,371	\$1,403
SUR	MTA	STK	BFT	LEN	CWN	CAL	PAS	BL	CAM
\$1,405	\$1,501	\$1,620	\$1,657	\$1,700	\$1,727	\$1,756	\$1,780	\$1,793	\$1,807
SC	CLE	WAR	STY	PAM	HAY	PO	BUR	PI	CO
\$1,809	\$1,867	\$1,900	\$1,902	\$1,954	\$2,053	\$2,110	\$2,160	\$2,185	\$2,231
WVC	WLK	CR	RCK	RRC	WAT	ELK	TSV	HO	DVE
\$2,231	\$2,245	\$2,300	\$2,302	\$2,310	\$2,355	\$2,417	\$2,439	\$2,472	\$2,481
ROB	JON	VA	CAR	YAD	RWN	GAS	ALX	KAN	DVS
\$2,497	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,503	\$2,548	\$2,599	\$2,651	\$2,722	\$2,742	\$2,766
IR	PEN	RA	BR	WAY	LI	NCC	ED	CAB	FR
\$2,781	\$2,825	\$2,838	\$2,900	\$2,922	\$2,946	\$2,967	\$3,040	\$3,053	\$3,105
HIC	SA	DUP	MSV	HAR	ABC	JST	GVL	CMB	MOO
\$3,148	\$3,151	\$3,173	\$3,180	\$3,267	\$3,274	\$3,389	\$3,400	\$3,523	\$3,557
WSN	TRA	LEX	FO	CAT	CUR	HEN	LEE	NH	AVC
\$3,572	\$3,741	\$3,751	\$3,776	\$3,797	\$3,799	\$3,826	\$3,902	\$3,969	\$3,989
DAR	CLI	ON	PSN	NA	UN	ALA	BUN	GU	CTM
\$4,081	\$4,214	\$4,239	\$4,390	\$4,475	\$4,476	\$4,563	\$4,718	\$4,741	\$4,780
OR	DUR	ME	CHC	WAK					
\$6,358	\$6,586	\$6,985	\$7,873	\$8,485					

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE

AVC: Asheville City Schools
CLI: Clinton City Schools
KAN: Kannapolis City Schools
CHC: Chapel Hill- Carrboro City Schools
ABC: Asheboro City Schools
LEX: Lexington City Schools
HIC: Hickory City Schools
ELK: Elkin City Schools
WEL: Weldon City Schools
MSV: Mooresville City Schools
TSV: Thomasville City Schools
RRC: Roanoke Rapids City Schools
NCC: Newton- Conover City Schools
MTA: Mount Airy City Schools
WVC: Whiteville City Schools




Note: Average teacher Salary Supplement is the amount of additional, locally funded salary that a teacher receives for working in a particular school district. The unweighted average for this indicator is \$2,516.



# LOW WEALTH SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING (2017- 18)

\$35,180- \$86,327 (3)
\$149,099- \$817,791 (21)
\$1,016,818- \$2,769,455 [AVG] (23)
\$2,789,001- \$5,402,762 (23)
\$6,086,784- \$8,829,321 (4)
\$11,711,947- \$17,753,219 (3)

Low Wealth Allotment (\$)




Received \$0 (38)

AVC: Asheville City Schools	CHC: Chapel Hill- Carrboro City Schools	HIC: Hickory City Schools	MSV: Mooresville City Schools	NCC: Newton- Conover City Schools
CLI: Clinton City Schools	ABC: Asheboro City Schools	ELK: Elkin City Schools	TSV: Thomasville City Schools	MTA: Mount Airy City Schools
KAN: Kannapolis City Schools	LEX: Lexington City Schools	WEL: Weldon City Schools	RRC: Roanoke Rapids City Schools	WVC: Whiteville City Schools

MI	GHM	DVE	MAD	SW	JON	LI	TY	WAR	KAN
\$35,180	\$76,060	\$86,327	\$149,099	\$157,834	\$166,411	\$216,746	\$221,369	\$255,332	\$312,395
WEL	ELK	CWN	CAM	TSV	MTA	WAS	CRK	PSN	LEX
\$340,378	\$365,545	\$381,799	\$407,104	\$469,835	\$495,964	\$562,957	\$570,698	\$605,352	\$612,863
GAT	MON	BFT	NO	HAL	CR	ON	BRT	RRC	CAS
\$755,157	\$814,313	\$815,672	\$817,791	\$1,016,818	\$1,097,937	\$1,100,023	\$1,131,104	\$1,141,912	\$1,170,324
MAR	WVC	HER	CLI	ABC	AN	PAS	BL	ALX	YAD
\$1,270,994	\$1,355,990	\$1,382,838	\$1,547,308	\$1,740,901	\$1,757,683	\$1,810,538	\$1,819,639	\$1,946,118	\$2,015,932
STK	GRE	MCD	LEE	SUR	PEN	STY	WLK	RU	LEN
\$2,096,674	\$2,160,375	\$2,212,987	\$2,479,810	\$2,482,920	\$2,628,775	\$2,738,179	\$2,789,001	\$2,805,575	\$3,000,382
ED	WSN	CO	FR	GAS	SC	VA	GVL	DVS	ALA
\$3,211,945	\$3,334,690	\$3,530,806	\$3,538,655	\$3,576,423	\$3,602,387	\$3,648,118	\$3,833,889	\$3,863,577	\$3,935,782
RI	SA	CAL	RCK	RWN	CLE	DUP	HO	NA	BUR
\$4,208,616	\$4,270,938	\$4,372,640	\$4,401,745	\$4,781,978	\$4,885,526	\$5,139,191	\$5,184,933	\$5,308,349	\$5,402,762
PI	RA	WAY	CMB	HAR	JST	ROB			
\$6,086,784	\$6,477,381	\$6,965,757	\$8,829,321	\$11,711,947	\$12,997,732	\$17,753,219			

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE

Population Percentage 0.04- 0.9% 1- 3.7% 5.1- 10.4%




Note: Low Wealth Supplemental Funding is the state allocation to provide supplemental funds in counties that do not have the ability to generate revenue to support public schools at the average state level. Counties or LEAs without an abbreviation on the map received no funding for this allocation. Those LEAs that did not receive funding are listed in the white box above the LEA abbreviations.



# SMALL COUNTY SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING (2017- 18)

\$323,221 (1)
\$1,470,000- \$1,498,000 (2)
\$1,548,000- \$1,548,700 (9)
\$1,560,000 [AVG] (6)
\$1,600,000 (4)
\$1,820,000 (5)

Small County Allotment (\$)



AVC BR BUN CAB CAR CAT CHC CTM CUR DAR DUR FO GU HAY HEN HIC IR JA MAC ME MOO MSV NH NCC OR TRA UN WAK WAT DVE LI KAN WEL ELK TSV MTA PSN LEX MON HAL CR ON RRC WVC CLI ABC PAS BL ALX YAD STK MCD LEE SUR PEN STY WLK RU LEN ED WSN CO FR GAS SC VA GVL DVS ALA RI SA CAL RCK RWN CLE DUP HO NA BUR PI RA WAY CMB HAR JST ROB CRK BFT


Received \$0 (88)

AVC: Asheville City Schools	CHC: Chapel Hill- Carrboro City Schools	HIC: Hickory City Schools	MSV: Mooresville City Schools	NCC: Newton- Conover City Schools
CLI: Clinton City Schools	ABC: Asheboro City Schools	ELK: Elkin City Schools	TSV: Thomasville City Schools	MTA: Mount Airy City Schools
KAN: Kannapolis City Schools	LEX: Lexington City Schools	WEL: Weldon City Schools	RRC: Roanoke Rapids City Schools	WVC: Whiteville City Schools

<b>AN</b> \$323,221	<b>MAD</b> \$1,470,000	<b>CAS</b> \$1,498,000	<b>AS</b> \$1,548,000	<b>GRE</b> \$1,548,000
<b>HER</b> \$1,548,000	<b>MAR</b> \$1,548,000	<b>ALL</b> \$1,548,700	<b>CLY</b> \$1,548,700	<b>GAT</b> \$1,548,700
<b>PQM</b> \$1,548,700	<b>WAS</b> \$1,548,700	<b>AV</b> \$1,560,000	<b>BRT</b> \$1,560,000	<b>CWN</b> \$1,560,000
<b>PO</b> \$1,560,000	<b>WAR</b> \$1,560,000	<b>YAN</b> \$1,560,000	<b>CAM</b> \$1,600,000	<b>MI</b> \$1,600,000
<b>NO</b> \$1,600,000	<b>SW</b> \$1,600,000	<b>GHM</b> \$1,820,000	<b>HY</b> \$1,820,000	<b>JON</b> \$1,820,000
<b>PAM</b> \$1,820,000		<b>TY</b> \$1,820,000		

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE

Population Percentage 0.04- 0.9% 1- 3.7% 5.1- 10.4%



Note: Small County Supplemental Funding is the state allocation to provide additional funds to small school districts based on Average Daily Membership (ADM). To qualify, a school district must have fewer than 3,200 students. Based on ADM ranges, each school district will receive a pre-determined amount of funding. Counties or LEAs without an abbreviation on the map received no funding for this allocation. Those LEAs that did not receive funding are listed in the white box above the LEA abbreviations.




# PERCENT OF K-12 STUDENTS ENROLLED IN A CHARTER SCHOOL (2016-17)

Students Enrolled In Charter School (%)

0% (4)
0.1%- 0.9% (28)
1.1%- 3.6% (27)
4%- 5% [AVG] (10)
5.2%- 11.7% (37)
12.9%- 19.7% (7)
22.4%- 25.2% (2)


LEGEND



CLI	HY	SA	TY	ALL	GAT	SC	YAN	DUP	LEE
0%	0%	0%	0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%
CAL	DAR	DVE	GHM	GRE	HIC	NCC	ALX	CAM	PEN
0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
RI	AN	AS	JON	LEX	MCD	MI	MAD	ON	WLK
0.4%	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
CUR	HER	CAT	CHC	DVS	CR	MAC	TSV	YAD	PQM
0.9%	0.9%	1.1%	1.1%	1.2%	1.4%	1.4%	1.5%	1.5%	1.6%
ROB	CLY	HAR	CMB	RWN	STK	LEN	AV	BUR	ELK
1.6%	1.8%	2%	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	2.3%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%
CAR	CWN	PAS	STY	WAT	JST	MON	RA	RCK	NH
2.5%	2.6%	3%	3.1%	3.3%	3.4%	3.5%	3.6%	3.6%	4%
WAY	ABC	HO	PI	HEN	MOO	SW	PO	FO	HAY
4%	4.1%	4.1%	4.1%	4.4%	4.5%	4.7%	4.8%	5%	5.2%
SUR	BFT	CRK	ALA	BL	RRC	GAS	BUN	CAB	CLE
5.2%	5.3%	5.5%	5.7%	5.8%	5.9%	6%	6.1%	6.1%	6.3%
MSV	WAK	NA	JA	UN	CAS	KAN	OR	WAS	TRA
6.4%	6.4%	6.5%	6.7%	6.7%	6.8%	6.8%	7.5%	7.5%	7.8%
AVC	BR	GU	CO	BRT	WAR	IR	WVC	CTM	ME
7.9%	7.9%	8%	9.4%	9.8%	9.8%	10.1%	10.1%	10.2%	10.2%
MTA	LI	WSN	MAR	FR	RU	GVL	ED	DUR	PAM
10.2%	10.3%	10.5%	11.2%	11.4%	11.7%	12.9%	13.3%	15.9%	16.2%
VA	PSN	NO	WEL	HAL					
17.5%	19.6%	19.7%	22.4%	25.2%					

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE

AVC: Asheville City Schools
CLI: Clinton City Schools
KAN: Kannapolis City Schools
CHC: Chapel Hill- Carrboro City Schools
ABC: Asheboro City Schools
LEX: Lexington City Schools
HIC: Hickory City Schools
ELK: Elkin City Schools
WEL: Weldon City Schools
MSV: Mooresville City Schools
TSV: Thomasville City Schools
RRC: Roanoke Rapids City Schools
NCC: Newton- Conover City Schools
MTA: Mount Airy City Schools
WVC: Whiteville City Schools




Note: These values represent the percentage of all K-12 district students enrolled in public charter schools instead of traditional public or private schools. The unweighted average for this indicator is 5%.



18 (1)
30- 98 (27)
109- 180 (25)
204- 270 [AVG] (14)
275- 540 (25)
610- 828 (4)
1,022- 1,971 (4)

Number of Children Enrolled in Pre-K


# PRE-KINDERGARTEN ENROLLMENT (2016-17)



LEGEND

TY	JA	GAT	HY	CAM	MI	POM	YAN	MOO	CLY
18	30	31	31	36	36	36	36	43	45
MAD	CUR	GHM	PAM	TRA	ALX	CWN	CAS	GRE	WAS
45	49	51	58	64	69	70	71	71	72
ALL	JON	PO	WAT	SW	DAR	MAC	WAR	AV	CRK
74	75	79	81	86	92	93	98	109	113
NO	GVL	PSN	WSN	MAR	FR	AS	PAS	BFT	BRT
120	121	123	124	127	128	134	134	139	142
HAY	VA	AN	LI	DVE	YAD	HER	LEN	MON	MCD
142	144	152	159	163	164	168	172	175	177
HEN	CAL	STK	BL	CAR	RCK	NA	LEE	SC	PEN
178	179	180	204	205	216	222	241	244	247
RI	CTM	RU	CR	SUR	HAL	OR	DUP	IR	BR
249	250	250	256	261	262	265	275	281	282
RA	STY	HAR	SA	RWN	CO	JST	CAT	ED	DVS
293	294	320	324	325	326	329	341	342	347
BUN	UN	BUR	WLK	HO	CAB	ALA	CLE	DUR	PI
357	375	379	379	401	411	431	436	487	513
NH	WAY	FO	ON	GAS	ROB	WAK	ME	CMB	GU
532	540	610	736	822	828	1,022	1,206	1,825	1,971

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: These figures show the number of children in contract to receive state-funded Pre-Kindergarten services in each county. The total number of children in 2016-17 is 27,019. The unweighted average for the indicator is 270 children.




# AVERAGE INCOME PER CAPITA (2015)

Average Income Per Capita (\$)

\$27,487- \$30,000 (8)
\$30,001- \$32,500 (25)
\$32,501- \$35,761 [AVG] (30)
\$35,762- \$40,000 (16)
\$40,001- \$45,000 (16)
\$45,001- \$55,338 (5)


LEGEND



ROB	WAR	RU	CRK	HO	HER	GHM	MAD	GRE	CLY
\$27,487	\$28,220	\$29,142	\$29,358	\$29,382	\$29,808	\$29,833	\$29,965	\$30,004	\$30,242
CO	TY	SC	MCD	AV	MI	NO	HAR	CAS	CAL
\$30,262	\$30,514	\$30,625	\$30,683	\$30,958	\$30,970	\$30,971	\$31,129	\$31,184	\$31,263
RI	BUR	YAN	AS	BRT	VA	FR	SW	ED	JA
\$31,361	\$31,458	\$31,481	\$31,519	\$31,749	\$31,792	\$31,852	\$31,971	\$32,084	\$32,202
DUP	AN	WLK	BL	ALL	WAS	STK	PEN	HAL	WAT
\$32,317	\$32,392	\$32,449	\$32,681	\$32,712	\$32,755	\$32,811	\$32,937	\$32,947	\$33,103
MON	GAT	MAR	ALX	CLE	HY	RA	RCK	GVL	YAD
\$33,198	\$33,458	\$33,532	\$33,645	\$33,712	\$33,724	\$33,899	\$33,916	\$33,919	\$33,995
STY	RWN	PSN	SUR	MAC	CWN	DVS	HAY	SA	TRA
\$34,063	\$34,348	\$34,557	\$34,628	\$34,896	\$34,956	\$35,073	\$35,207	\$35,357	\$35,487
JST	PAS	LEE	WAY	ALA	BR	JON	GAS	PI	PQM
\$35,533	\$35,550	\$35,655	\$35,801	\$35,839	\$36,307	\$36,906	\$36,950	\$37,042	\$37,279
LEN	HEN	CMB	WSN	PAM	BFT	LI	NA	CAB	CAT
\$37,442	\$37,558	\$37,611	\$37,617	\$37,682	\$37,773	\$38,774	\$38,982	\$39,640	\$40,265
NH	CR	BUN	CUR	CAM	PO	GU	DVE	UN	MOO
\$40,487	\$40,555	\$40,994	\$41,035	\$41,351	\$41,477	\$41,691	\$42,136	\$43,669	\$43,725
FO	ON	CAR	IR	DUR	DAR	WAK	CTM	ME	OR
\$43,859	\$43,952	\$44,199	\$44,442	\$44,507	\$48,602	\$51,776	\$51,839	\$52,129	\$55,338

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE

Population Percentage 0.04- 0.9% 1- 3.7% 5.1- 10.4%



Note: Per capita personal income is calculated as the total personal income of the residents of a given area divided by the population of the area. The North Carolina average income per capita is \$35,761.




Source: U.S. Department of Commerce- Bureau of Economic Analysis, per capita personal income, 2015

# PROPERTY TAX RATES (2017-18)

*Property Tax Rates*

0.3100- 0.3800 (6)
0.4430- 0.5850 (26)
0.6000- 0.6720 [AVG] (16)
0.6750- 0.8157 (36)
0.8200- 1.0100 (16)

↑ LEGEND





CAR	MAC	WAT	SW	JA	CLY	AS	MOO	DAR	CUR
0.3100	0.3490	0.3530	0.3600	0.3700	0.3800	0.4430	0.4650	0.4700	0.4800
BR	TRA	ALL	CRK	MAD	IR	PO	BUN	CR	DVS
0.4850	0.5110	0.5125	0.5200	0.5200	0.5275	0.5294	0.5390	0.5394	0.5400
AV	BFT	MCD	HEN	NH	PQM	CAT	ALA	MI	SUR
0.5500	0.5500	0.5500	0.5650	0.5700	0.5700	0.5750	0.5800	0.5800	0.5820
GHM	HAY	YAN	RU	LI	WAK	MON	PAM	CTM	CAL
0.5850	0.5850	0.6000	0.6070	0.6110	0.6150	0.6200	0.6250	0.6281	0.6300
RA	STK	YAD	RWN	WAY	NA	STY	WLK	ON	PEN
0.6525	0.6600	0.6600	0.6625	0.6635	0.6700	0.6700	0.6700	0.6750	0.6850
BUR	DUP	PI	RCK	CAB	PSN	CAM	CLE	FO	DVE
0.6950	0.6950	0.6960	0.6960	0.7000	0.7000	0.7200	0.7200	0.7235	0.7280
HY	WSN	GU	CWN	CAS	HAR	HO	GAT	WAR	DUR
0.7300	0.7300	0.7305	0.7400	0.7459	0.7500	0.7500	0.7600	0.7600	0.7679
PAS	ROB	HAL	JST	UN	GRE	ALX	MAR	RI	LEE
0.7700	0.7700	0.7800	0.7800	0.7810	0.7860	0.7900	0.7900	0.7900	0.7950
CMB	AN	CO	ME	BL	SA	BRT	TY	LEN	OR
0.7990	0.8010	0.8050	0.8157	0.8200	0.8250	0.8300	0.8300	0.8350	0.8377
HER	JON	WAS	GAS	GVL	VA	FR	NO	ED	SC
0.8400	0.8400	0.8550	0.8700	0.8800	0.8900	0.8950	0.9200	0.9500	1.0100

← COUNTY NAME

← FIGURE

Population Percentage



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016



Note: All Property Tax Rates are per \$100 valuation.


\$45,883- \$67,719 (15)
\$69,472- \$80,954 (26)
\$82,164- \$102,869 (13)
\$103,685- \$141,560 (29)
\$164,555- \$223,788 (8)
\$365,690 (1)

Total Taxable  
Property Per Capita (\$)

DID NOT REPORT (8)

# TOTAL TAXABLE PROPERTY PER CAPITA (2016-17)


↑ LEGEND



COUNTY NAME	FIGURE
CLY	
ALL	
AN	
HO	
CO	
ED	
CWN	
HY	
ROB	\$45,883
GRE	\$51,859
VA	\$57,225
SC	\$59,560
HAL	\$59,856
HAR	\$62,387
CMB	\$64,055
WAY	\$64,578
BRT	\$65,320
HER	\$65,595
ALX	\$66,295
SA	\$66,746
SUR	\$67,245
DUP	\$67,573
CAS	\$67,719
ON	\$69,472
YAD	\$69,603
PI	\$69,879
LEN	\$71,171
FR	\$71,506
RA	\$71,568
WAS	\$71,731
STK	\$72,225
BUR	\$72,459
RI	\$72,712
STY	\$72,920
GAS	\$72,987
MAR	\$73,299
BL	\$74,695
GVL	\$74,977
PAS	\$75,501
NA	\$75,866
WLK	\$76,448
RCK	\$76,941
JON	\$77,429
WSN	\$79,521
GAT	\$79,641
CLE	\$79,888
CAL	\$80,146
MCD	\$80,189
ALA	\$80,954
DVS	\$82,164
JST	\$83,555
CR	\$84,142
LEE	\$85,798
RWN	\$86,331
FO	\$89,530
GU	\$92,398
RU	\$93,165
NO	\$95,109
MAD	\$97,067
SW	\$97,675
CAM	\$101,012
CAT	\$102,753
LI	\$103,685
PSN	\$104,614
PQM	\$105,424
PEN	\$105,842
CAB	\$105,915
MI	\$106,243
UN	\$106,835
DVE	\$107,423
MON	\$107,983
TY	\$109,410
CRK	\$112,365
GHM	\$114,023
ME	\$115,783
DUR	\$115,803
BUN	\$116,356
HEN	\$116,757
YAN	\$117,518
OR	\$118,262
WAR	\$118,580
PAM	\$122,245
HAY	\$122,837
BFT	\$124,090
MOO	\$125,954
IR	\$128,253
WAK	\$136,820
NH	\$137,509
PO	\$138,166
CTM	\$139,728
AS	\$141,560
WAT	\$164,555
TRA	\$165,653
BR	\$189,729
AV	\$205,105
CAR	\$210,504
CUR	\$223,240
JA	\$223,407
MAC	\$223,788
DAR	\$365,690

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE

Population Percentage  
0.04- 0.9% 1- 3.7% 5.1- 10.4%



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: The taxable property per capita figures reflect the assessed property valuation in each county, divided by the number of people in the county. The unweighted average figure for this indicator is \$102,136.




# AVERAGE PROPERTY VALUE (2015)

Average Property Value (\$)

\$70,200- \$98,800 (25)
\$104,400- \$120,700 (15)
\$124,400- \$137,833 [AVG] (15)
\$138,100- \$171,900 (27)
\$182,300- \$199,100 (10)
\$209,800- \$283,400 (8)


LEGEND



ROB	AN	BRT	RI	SC	ED	NO	WAS	HER	CO
\$70,200	\$76,900	\$78,400	\$78,600	\$79,100	\$82,200	\$82,500	\$82,700	\$83,000	\$83,900
BL	MAR	HAL	SA	GRE	DUP	HY	MON	LEN	JON
\$85,000	\$85,500	\$86,600	\$87,600	\$87,700	\$87,900	\$90,600	\$90,900	\$92,500	\$93,900
WAR	VA	CAS	MCD	TY	CLE	RU	RCK	CAL	WAY
\$96,400	\$97,900	\$98,400	\$98,400	\$98,800	\$104,400	\$106,600	\$106,700	\$108,100	\$110,400
BUR	WLK	BFT	PSN	SUR	WSN	STK	NA	GHM	RA
\$113,100	\$114,800	\$115,100	\$115,500	\$115,500	\$116,300	\$117,400	\$118,600	\$120,700	\$120,700
YAD	ALX	GAS	SW	STY	RWN	CMB	FR	DVS	CAT
\$124,400	\$124,600	\$125,100	\$126,700	\$128,200	\$128,300	\$129,300	\$129,500	\$132,200	\$132,700
PI	HAR	CWN	LEE	AV	ALA	YAN	MI	HO	CRK
\$135,300	\$135,400	\$135,900	\$136,900	\$137,100	\$138,100	\$140,500	\$141,100	\$141,500	\$142,600
GVL	GAT	JST	ALL	CLY	PAM	FO	AS	LI	PEN
\$142,600	\$144,300	\$145,500	\$146,600	\$147,900	\$150,800	\$151,100	\$151,500	\$153,200	\$153,400
ON	CR	GU	PAS	MAD	HAY	MAC	PQM	IR	CAB
\$154,000	\$154,500	\$156,100	\$158,800	\$159,900	\$161,300	\$165,500	\$165,800	\$166,300	\$167,100
DVE	JA	HEN	BR	DUR	ME	PO	TRA	BUN	CAR
\$169,300	\$171,900	\$182,300	\$182,500	\$183,800	\$184,800	\$190,700	\$192,000	\$192,400	\$196,800
UN	MOO	CAM	NH	CTM	CUR	WAT	WAK	OR	DAR
\$197,400	\$199,100	\$209,800	\$214,300	\$223,500	\$229,900	\$231,700	\$234,000	\$272,600	\$283,400

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE

Population Percentage



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: Average Property Value is the average price an individual can expect a home in the county to cost. The unweighted average for this indicator is \$137,833.



Neither Article 43 nor 46 (67)

Article 43 (2)


Article 46 (29)

Article 43 and 46 (2)


Levied Local Option  
Sales Tax Articles

# COUNTIES LEVYING SALES TAX ARTICLES 43, 46, OR BOTH

↑ LEGEND



Population Percentage 0.04- 0.9% 1- 3.7% 5.1- 10.4%



Note: This map shows counties that passed a referendum to levy the Article 43 Local Option Sales and Use Tax, the Article 46 Tax, or both, as of November 2016.




# PERCENT OF COUNTY POPULATION WITHOUT BROADBAND ACCESS (2016)

0%- 3% (33)
4%- 14% (26)
15%- 20% [AVG] (12)
21%- 35% (11)
44%- 82% (13)
96%- 100% (5)



Population Without Access (%)

LEGEND



COUNTY NAME	FIGURE	COUNTY NAME	FIGURE	COUNTY NAME	FIGURE	COUNTY NAME	FIGURE	COUNTY NAME	FIGURE
ON	0%	ME	0%	NH	0%	BUN	0%	PAS	0%
STY	0%	GAS	0%	MAD	0%	CAB	0%	LI	0%
LEE	1%	DUR	1%	CAL	1%	UN	1%	YAD	1%
WAY	3%	IR	3%	DVS	3%	CUR	4%	RCK	4%
VA	6%	RI	7%	OR	7%	CAM	7%	ALX	7%
HO	9%	MOO	10%	ALL	12%	NA	12%	MI	13%
BR	15%	FR	15%	AS	17%	LEN	18%	HEN	18%
PEN	20%	CO	21%	GVL	22%	MCD	23%	PO	23%
DUP	31%	BRT	35%	BL	44%	WAR	48%	GHM	49%
JON	59%	TY	60%	JA	67%	CRK	74%	SA	82%

COUNTY NAME  
FIGURE



Note: These figures show the percentage of citizens within a county who lack access to fixed, advanced telecommunications capability. Congress defined advanced telecommunications capability (broadband internet access) as "high-quality" capability that allows users to "originate and receive high-quality voice, data, graphics, and video" services. Advanced capability, or broadband access, is defined as having a speed benchmark of 25 Mbps download/3 Mbps upload. These figures only represent fixed (not mobile) broadband services. The unweighted average for this indicator is 20%.



# AVERAGE WEEKLY WAGE (2016)

Average Weekly Wage (\$)

\$546- \$600 (13)


\$601- \$650 (28)

\$651- \$695 [AVG] (26)

\$696- \$900 (27)

\$901- \$1,258 (6)

LEGEND





PAM	ALL	CLY	MAR	WAR	PO	STK	AV	TY	CRK
\$546	\$555	\$564	\$574	\$574	\$576	\$583	\$585	\$589	\$593
BRT	YAN	HY	CAS	GAT	GHM	PQM	DAR	CAR	MAD
\$594	\$598	\$598	\$611	\$611	\$612	\$613	\$614	\$614	\$615
ROB	GRE	MAC	ALX	YAD	AS	DUP	HAL	AN	ON
\$621	\$622	\$624	\$624	\$625	\$631	\$631	\$634	\$634	\$638
TRA	CUR	PEN	RU	JON	RI	MI	STY	VA	SUR
\$638	\$639	\$641	\$642	\$642	\$644	\$644	\$646	\$648	\$648
HAR	DVE	MCD	MON	CO	HO	SW	CWN	ED	WLK
\$648	\$652	\$653	\$653	\$655	\$655	\$656	\$659	\$660	\$661
BL	WAT	RA	RCK	HAY	NO	SC	HER	LEN	JA
\$662	\$663	\$665	\$666	\$667	\$668	\$675	\$677	\$678	\$679
SA	BUR	CAL	WAY	CAM	CTM	PAS	BFT	BR	DVS
\$680	\$681	\$682	\$684	\$685	\$687	\$690	\$697	\$709	\$717
HEN	MOO	LI	JST	PSN	CLE	NA	LEE	GAS	ALA
\$720	\$723	\$726	\$728	\$729	\$733	\$733	\$738	\$741	\$743
WAS	FR	CAT	CMB	CAB	BUN	WSN	CR	UN	RWN
\$750	\$771	\$777	\$778	\$780	\$781	\$786	\$790	\$799	\$808
NH	GVL	PI	GU	IR	FO	OR	WAK	ME	DUR
\$820	\$823	\$824	\$877	\$912	\$938	\$998	\$1,044	\$1,209	\$1,258

COUNTY NAME

FIGURE

Population Percentage



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: Average Weekly Wage is defined as the average amount of money an individual in a county will earn per week. The North Carolina Average Weekly Wage is \$695. Figures were rounded to the nearest whole number.




# LIVING HOURLY WAGE; ONE ADULT (2016)

Hourly Wage (\$)

\$9.70- \$9.74 (4)
\$10.06- \$10.41 (23)
\$10.47- \$10.67 [AVG] (33)
\$10.71- \$10.93 (14)
\$11.03- \$11.69 (25)
\$13.29 (1)

LEGEND





WAR	VA	SA	STY	CRK	PSN	CO	LEN	HER	AS
\$9.70	\$9.70	\$9.70	\$9.74	\$10.06	\$10.07	\$10.14	\$10.14	\$10.20	\$10.20
WLK	ROB	MI	NO	CAS	BRT	BL	MAR	RCK	JON
\$10.22	\$10.23	\$10.23	\$10.23	\$10.23	\$10.23	\$10.23	\$10.23	\$10.23	\$10.23
SC	MCD	HO	BFT	GHM	HAR	JA	DVS	PAM	DUP
\$10.28	\$10.29	\$10.34	\$10.36	\$10.39	\$10.39	\$10.41	\$10.47	\$10.47	\$10.50
MON	MAC	YAN	GRE	TY	CLE	RWN	SW	CLY	RI
\$10.50	\$10.50	\$10.51	\$10.52	\$10.52	\$10.53	\$10.53	\$10.55	\$10.58	\$10.58
AN	ALL	HAL	GAT	HAY	ED	NA	WAT	RA	WSN
\$10.58	\$10.58	\$10.58	\$10.58	\$10.58	\$10.59	\$10.59	\$10.60	\$10.60	\$10.60
GU	WAS	TRA	GVL	CWN	PO	CAL	BUR	ALX	CAT
\$10.60	\$10.62	\$10.62	\$10.65	\$10.66	\$10.66	\$10.67	\$10.67	\$10.67	\$10.67
WAY	STK	YAD	DVE	FO	SUR	PEN	LEE	PI	AV
\$10.71	\$10.72	\$10.72	\$10.72	\$10.72	\$10.77	\$10.78	\$10.82	\$10.87	\$10.92
MAD	PQM	HEN	BUN	RU	LI	PAS	ALA	DUR	DAR
\$10.93	\$10.93	\$10.93	\$10.93	\$11.03	\$11.08	\$11.15	\$11.24	\$11.27	\$11.27
CTM	OR	MOO	FR	JST	WAK	HY	ON	GAS	CAB
\$11.27	\$11.27	\$11.29	\$11.30	\$11.30	\$11.30	\$11.33	\$11.35	\$11.36	\$11.36
UN	ME	CMB	CAM	CAR	BR	CR	NH	IR	CUR
\$11.36	\$11.36	\$11.41	\$11.44	\$11.44	\$11.48	\$11.53	\$11.57	\$11.69	\$13.29

COUNTY NAME

FIGURE

Population Percentage



Note: Living Wage (Hourly) is defined as the minimum hourly pay an individual must earn in order to support themselves (1 adult) in the county, given that the individual is working full time (2,080 hours per year). The unweighted average for this indicator is \$10.67.




# PERCENT OF UNEMPLOYED POP. PER COUNTY (MAY 2017)

3.3%- 4% (24)
4.1%- 4.4% (27)
4.5%- 4.8% [AVG] (15)
4.9%- 5.6% (18)
5.8%- 6.5% (10)
7%- 7.8% (6)

Percent of Pop. Unemployed


LEGEND



BUN	ALX	GVL	HEN	CTM	OR	UN	WAK	DVE	LI
3.3%	3.5%	3.6%	3.6%	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	3.8%	3.8%
DUR	HAY	JST	MCD	NH	STY	WAT	YAD	AS	CAB
3.9%	3.9%	3.9%	3.9%	3.9%	3.9%	3.9%	3.9%	4%	4%
CAT	IR	PO	SUR	ALA	BUR	JON	ME	WLK	AV
4%	4%	4%	4%	4.1%	4.1%	4.1%	4.1%	4.1%	4.2%
CAM	CAR	DVS	MAD	MOO	RA	STK	TRA	CAL	FO
4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	4.3%	4.3%
GAS	CLY	CUR	FR	MAC	MON	PEN	PSN	RWN	SW
4.3%	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%
YAN	CLE	CR	DUP	GAT	GRE	JA	LEN	PAM	CAS
4.4%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.6%
GU	SA	ALL	CRK	ON	HAR	BFT	PI	BR	DAR
4.6%	4.6%	4.7%	4.7%	4.7%	4.8%	4.9%	4.9%	5%	5%
LEE	WAY	MI	RCK	AN	CO	PAS	CMB	PQM	CWN
5%	5%	5.1%	5.1%	5.2%	5.2%	5.3%	5.4%	5.4%	5.5%
MAR	BL	HER	HO	BRT	RI	NO	RU	VA	GHM
5.5%	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	5.8%	5.8%	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	6.2%
NA	ROB	WAS	WAR	TY	HAL	HY	WSN	ED	SC
6.2%	6.2%	6.4%	6.5%	7%	7.1%	7.5%	7.6%	7.8%	7.8%

COUNTY NAME  
FIGURE

Population Percentage



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016


Note: Percentages were estimated based on a county's number of citizens unemployed compared to number of citizens employed. Percentages do not reflect the number of unemployed citizens compared to the entire state. The unweighted average for this indicator is 4.8%.



# EXISTING BUILDING AND SITE LOCATIONS AVAILABLE FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (JUNE 2017)

0 (21)
1- 6 (28)
7- 20 (25)
21- 27 [AVG] (10)
28- 44 (8)
61- 164 (5)
345- 494 (3)



Existing Building and Site Locations



LEGEND

SW	JA	HY	WAT	AV	CAR	TY	MI	HER	BRT
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GRE	JON	PAM	ALL	SA	HO	AS	AN	YAD	CUR
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GAT	DAR	MAC	HAY	GHM	PO	PAS	YAN	CWN	STK
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
CLY	BFT	WAS	CAS	HAL	UN	CAM	MON	CO	BL
2	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4
MAD	RI	NO	PQM	BUN	MAR	ALX	DVE	PEN	CRK
4	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	7
SC	MCD	HAR	VA	ON	TRA	GVL	OR	PSN	BR
8	8	8	9	9	10	10	11	11	12
DVS	CTM	WAR	MOO	WLK	DUP	NH	STY	CR	RA
12	12	12	14	14	14	16	16	18	18
SUR	GAS	ED	LEN	CAB	WSN	WAY	ALA	RU	BUR
18	19	20	20	21	22	22	22	24	25
LEE	CMB	PI	ROB	LI	NA	CAL	CLE	JST	RCK
25	26	27	27	28	29	31	33	35	36
FR	HEN	IR	FO	RWN	CAT	DUR	WAK	ME	GU
42	44	61	67	81	98	164	345	408	494

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: These figures represent any existing structure or site that is available for purchase and/or lease and has been put on the market by the owner. Existing structures include, but are not limited to, buildings that previously housed warehouses or distribution centers, commercial buildings, offices, manufacturing facilities and industrial facilities.




# NUMBER OF ESTABLISHMENTS PER COUNTY (2016)

Number of Establishments

96- 500 (24)
501- 1,000 (21)
1,001- 1,865 [AVG] (23)
1,866- 4,310 (20)
4,311- 14,330 (10)
33,380- 37,045 (2)

14,331- 33,379 (0)


LEGEND



TY	GAT	CAM	GHM	JON	HY	POM	CLY	WAS	CAS
96	154	196	200	209	210	248	249	252	273
PAM	ALL	WAR	GRE	BRT	NO	YAN	CWN	MI	MAD
286	301	306	319	326	329	354	383	385	387
SW	AN	HO	HER	MAR	MON	ALX	PO	AV	AS
424	455	476	480	503	503	566	567	602	614
BL	SC	YAD	STK	CRK	CUR	PSN	MCD	RI	VA
616	627	668	689	706	710	736	754	854	862
DVE	TRA	ED	GVL	PAS	HAL	JA	DUP	CO	FR
872	892	921	961	978	1,003	1,014	1,072	1,084	1,112
MAC	SA	PEN	BFT	WLK	RU	LEN	STY	CAL	LEE
1,138	1,150	1,170	1,185	1,290	1,302	1,348	1,357	1,366	1,400
CTM	BUR	HAY	RCK	WAT	HAR	LI	SUR	WSN	DAR
1,420	1,465	1,482	1,662	1,689	1,751	1,752	1,800	1,875	1,892
ROB	CLE	CAR	NA	WAY	CR	MOO	RA	BR	RWN
1,997	2,030	2,163	2,183	2,288	2,317	2,551	2,576	2,719	2,733
DVS	HEN	ON	JST	ALA	PI	OR	GAS	CAT	CAB
2,844	2,976	3,069	3,371	3,372	3,762	4,050	4,255	4,364	4,629
IR	UN	CMB	NH	DUR	BUN	FO	GU	WAK	ME
5,215	5,258	6,216	7,911	8,129	9,001	9,226	14,330	33,380	37,045

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE

Population Percentage 0.04- 0.9% 1- 3.7% 5.1- 10.4%



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016


Note: This map represents the number of businesses and other organizations (termed "establishments" by the U.S. Census Bureau) in each county during the year of 2016. An extra interval was added to show that there is a large gap between the last interval and the fifth interval. No counties have establishments ranging in numbers from 14,331 to 33,379, making Wake and Mecklenburg the outliers from these figures.



\$13.98 M- \$58.637 M (5)
\$101.848 M- \$206.634 M (27)
\$218.543 M- 279.275 M (14)
\$304.728 M- 365 M [AVG] (12)
\$368.302 M- \$685.438 M (33)
\$751.423 M- \$951.263 M (7)
\$1.139 B- \$1.159 B (2)



Average value of farm and buildings (\$)

# AVERAGE VALUE OF FARM AND FARM BUILDINGS (2016)



LEGEND

DAR	SW	NH	GHM	CLY	MI	CRK	PAM	CAM	MON
\$13.98M	\$32.814M	\$40.999M	\$41.199M	\$58.637M	\$101.848M	\$123.261M	\$134.597M	\$140.074M	\$143.662M
MCD	CUR	JA	JON	TRA	LEE	TY	WAR	MAC	PO
\$143.678M	\$144.521M	\$156.436M	\$158.37M	\$158.449M	\$163.342M	\$164.361M	\$168.317M	\$173.104M	\$176.7M
CAL	DUR	BUR	CWN	HO	VA	BR	RI	AV	HER
\$176.987M	\$178.892M	\$182.877M	\$184.29M	\$187.689M	\$189.883M	\$195.489M	\$195.932M	\$196.675M	\$198.711M
YAN	CAR	CR	ON	GAT	PAS	PEN	RU	SC	GAS
\$200.94M	\$206.634M	\$218.543M	\$220.119M	\$220.266M	\$228.33M	\$235.872M	\$240.223M	\$248.129M	\$254.148M
CMB	PQM	HY	WAS	HEN	CAS	MAD	LI	HAY	AN
\$256.910M	\$259.957M	\$264.567M	\$275.734M	\$279.110M	\$279.275M	\$304.728M	\$309.596M	\$310.193M	\$311.435M
ME	ED	FO	ALX	STK	MAR	PSN	CAT	DVE	OR
\$317.905M	\$333.5M	\$336.233M	\$349.009M	\$352.165M	\$358.523M	\$361.869M	\$362.338M	\$368.302M	\$379.25M
BL	ALA	GRE	BRT	LEN	GVL	WAT	WSN	NO	ALL
\$386.160M	\$393.941M	\$394.626M	\$396.719M	\$412.079M	\$414.169M	\$416.776M	\$425.164M	\$426.082M	\$436.684M
MOO	BFT	RCK	HAL	STY	CLE	CO	CAB	FR	YAD
\$439.071M	\$453.089M	\$453.751M	\$464.442M	\$478.518M	\$480.113M	\$482.755M	\$485.206M	\$499.189M	\$529.204M
DVS	NA	WLK	PI	CTM	GU	SUR	BUN	AS	HAR
\$535.905M	\$535.997M	\$538.077M	\$546.508M	\$554.504M	\$561.274M	\$582.149M	\$614.826M	\$639.614M	\$640.679M
RWN	RA	ROB	WAY	WAK	IR	DUP	JST	UN	SA
\$685.438M	\$751.423M	\$779.859M	\$788.542M	\$806.464M	\$916.502M	\$941.234M	\$951.263M	\$1.139B	\$1.159B



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE


Note: These values represent the average value of a county's farm and farm buildings. The North Carolina Department of Agriculture sourced the 2012 Census of Agriculture for these figures. The unweighted average for this indicator is \$365 million.



# RATIO OF COUNTY POPULATION TO NUMBER OF MENTAL HEALTH PROVIDERS (2017)



0- 389:1 (12)
390:1- 778:1 (33)
779:1- 1,167:1 [AVG] (21)
1,168:1- 2,168:1 (20)
2,169:1- 5,735:1 (13)
11,431:1 (1)

Ratio of County Population to Mental Health Providers



	OR	DUR	JA	BUN	WAT	AV	NH	HAY	PI
	167:1	197:1	206:1	207:1	256:1	285:1	286:1	288:1	315:1
CMB	GVL	WAK	BUR	FO	GU	CAB	ME	ALL	STY
377:1	383:1	395:1	421:1	424:1	427:1	431:1	433:1	493:1	498:1
SW	MOO	RWN	CR	GAS	ON	PO	MAC	CAT	TRA
498:1	502:1	508:1	520:1	534:1	550:1	550:1	552:1	564:1	583:1
WAY	PAS	VA	YAN	DAR	LEE	IR	ROB	HER	WLK
591:1	594:1	602:1	606:1	637:1	642:1	646:1	664:1	672:1	672:1
HEN	SC	MAD	AS	MCD	RI	CRK	RU	CAR	ALA
679:1	683:1	705:1	711:1	738:1	797:1	799:1	800:1	810:1	829:1
CO	WSN	RCK	HO	UN	HAL	LEN	CLE	SUR	NA
846:1	879:1	900:1	908:1	913:1	920:1	922:1	923:1	945:1	978:1
BFT	MON	WAS	PSN	RA	CTM	AN	CLY	JST	DVS
1,014:1	1,020:1	1,032:1	1,061:1	1,133:1	1,144:1	1,171:1	1,189:1	1,238:1	1,266:1
BR	MAR	MI	HAR	PEN	FR	CWN	YAD	GHM	BL
1,306:1	1,374:1	1,386:1	1,393:1	1,440:1	1,517:1	1,599:1	1,634:1	1,723:1	1,806:1
DUP	CAL	ED	WAR	NO	PAM	PQM	LI	DVE	BRT
1,849:1	1,890:1	2,006:1	2,016:1	2,043:1	2,130:1	2,240:1	2,315:1	2,320:1	2,525:1
CAM	ALX	CUR	SA	JON	GRE	STK	HY	CAS	GAT
2,577:1	2,666:1	2,807:1	2,897:1	3,338:1	3,522:1	3,863:1	5,526:1	5,735:1	11,431:1

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE



Note: Mental Health Providers is the ratio of the county population to the number of mental health providers currently practicing in that county. Figures include psychiatrists, psychologists, licensed clinical social workers, counselors, marriage and family therapists, mental health providers that treat alcohol and other drug abuse, and advanced practice nurses specializing in mental health care. A ratio was used to represent these figures because a ratio accurately represents a county's level of ability to provide care to those who are in need of mental health counseling. Any figure on the map, for example Pitt at "315:1", is translated as "One mental health provider for every 315 citizens in Pitt County".




# PERCENT OF COUNTY POPULATION WITHOUT HEALTH INSURANCE (2017)

11%- 13% (7)
14%- 15% (32)
16% [AVG] (22)
17%- 19% (27)
20%- 23% (12)

Population Without Health Insurance (%)

LEGEND





ON	OR	WAK	UN	CAM	CAB	CMB	DVE	CR	GAT
11%	12%	12%	13%	13%	13%	13%	14%	14%	14%
CLE	PI	BRT	CUR	IR	ME	WAT	LI	CAT	MOO
14%	14%	14%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%
GVL	NH	BUN	STK	GU	PSN	STY	DUR	NA	RCK
15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%
HAY	FO	MAD	PQM	MAR	HAL	WAS	ED	NO	CTM
15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	16%
JST	DVS	ALX	PEN	CAR	HAR	GAS	MCD	MI	YAN
16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%
CAS	PAS	RU	TRA	PAM	BFT	CWN	LEN	VA	HER
16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%
SC	DAR	HEN	BUR	CAL	BR	RA	YAD	ALA	LEE
16%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%
RWN	WAY	HO	HY	AN	JON	FR	PO	WLK	WSN
17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	18%	18%	18%	18%
CO	WAR	RI	SUR	CRK	CLY	GHM	BL	JA	SA
18%	18%	18%	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	20%	20%
MON	AS	GRE	SW	MAC	AV	ALL	ROB	DUP	TY
20%	20%	20%	21%	21%	21%	21%	22%	23%	23%

← COUNTY NAME

← FIGURE

Population Percentage



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: Uninsured population refers to the percentage of citizens within each county who are under the age of 65 and do not have health insurance. The North Carolina average is 16%.




# NUMBER OF OPIATE DEATHS AND PERCENT CHANGE (2014-15)

**Number of Opiate Fatalities**


0- 3 (32)
4- 8 (27)
9- 11 [AVG] (10)
12- 19 (15)
22- 31 (10)
41- 62 (6)

Number of Opiate Fatalities

LEGEND



WAR	CLY	HER	HY	TY	NO	MAR	SW	WAS	BRT
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
AN	CTM	GAT	POM	CRK	CAS	HO	AS	ALL	GHM
1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
PAS	PO	GRE	PSN	DUP	JA	MON	CAM	CWN	JON
2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
DAR	AV	MI	TRA	DVE	PAM	CUR	MAC	SA	BFT
3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5
VA	SC	GVL	BL	YAD	LI	YAN	WSN	ED	MAD
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	6	6
ALX	OR	LEN	FR	LEE	SUR	WAT	MCD	HAY	CO
6	6	7	7	7	7	7	8	8	9
WAY	HAL	HAR	RCK	ALA	NA	RI	ROB	MOO	PI
9	10	10	11	11	11	11	11	11	12
STY	STK	HEN	RU	PEN	IR	CAR	ON	DUR	CAB
12	13	13	14	14	15	15	15	17	17
CAT	CLE	UN	DVS	CR	JST	CAL	BR	WLK	RWN
17	18	18	19	22	23	23	24	24	29
BUN	GAS	RA	BUR	CMB	NH	GU	FO	ME	WAK
29	30	30	31	41	45	47	53	61	62



**D** PERCENT CHANGE NA (11)



**V** DECREASE IN DEATHS (30)

**!** NO CHANGE IN DEATHS (7)

Note: Opiate Deaths are any fatalities where the cause of death was listed as poisoning from opium, heroin, other opioids, methadone and/or other synthetic opioid. This map allows us to analyze two variables: (1) raw count of opiate deaths in the year 2015 per county, which are represented by the legend in the upper left hand corner and by the figures in the table on the left, and (2) percent change/increase from the number of opiate deaths in 2014, which is represented by the symbols next to the table. In regards to North Carolina's opiate crisis, this allows us to see which counties are in need of more help and which counties are succeeding in their efforts to reduce the number of fatal opiate cases. All counties marked as "percent change NA" are counties that did not report any opiate fatalities in 2014.



# PERCENT OF COUNTY POPULATION AGES 65 AND OLDER LIVING IN POVERTY (2015)

Population Age 65 & Up in Poverty (%)



CAM	DAR	HY	OR	TRA	BR	CUR	UN	WAK	CAR
4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%
CTM	CR	HEN	MOO	NH	PAM	PO	BUN	CAB	CAT
7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	8%	8%	8%
DVE	GAT	IR	PQM	STY	WAT	CLY	DVS	DUR	FO
8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	9%	9%	9%	9%
GU	HAY	JA	JON	MAC	ME	ON	RU	ALX	BUR
9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	10%	10%
CMB	GAS	LEE	LI	RA	ALA	ALL	AS	BFT	JST
10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%
PAS	RCK	RWN	WAY	CRK	CLE	FR	HAR	MAD	MCD
11%	11%	11%	11%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%
PEN	PSN	PI	STK	WAS	WSN	AV	CAL	LEN	MI
12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	13%	13%	13%	13%
SC	SUR	AN	CO	GHM	GVL	RI	YAD	MON	NO
13%	13%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	15%	15%
SA	VA	WLK	CAS	DUP	ED	GRE	HO	MAR	NA
15%	15%	15%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%
SW	WAR	YAN	CWN	BRT	BL	HAL	HER	ROB	TY
16%	16%	16%	17%	18%	19%	19%	20%	20%	30%

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: Poverty for this age group is defined as an annual income of \$11,367 or less for an individual over 65, and \$14,326 or less for two individuals over the age of 65 living together. Figures were rounded to the nearest whole number. The statewide average is 12%.




# PERCENT OF CHILDREN LIVING IN POVERTY (2017)

Children Living In Poverty (%)

- 13%- 18% (9)
- 19%- 23% (18)
- 24%- 27% [AVG] (25)
- 28%- 35% (31)
- 36%- 45% (17)

LEGEND



OR	UN	CAM	CAB	WAK	CUR	CTM	DAR	DVE	IR
13%	13%	14%	15%	15%	17%	18%	18%	18%	19%
JST	ME	WAT	LI	CAT	DVS	HEN	MOO	ALX	GVL
19%	19%	19%	20%	21%	21%	21%	21%	22%	22%
NH	ON	PEN	BUN	CAR	FR	STK	BUR	CAL	CR
22%	22%	22%	23%	23%	23%	23%	24%	24%	24%
GAT	GU	HAR	PSN	PO	BR	GAS	RA	STY	YAD
24%	24%	24%	24%	24%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%
ALA	DUR	LEE	NA	RCK	SW	HAY	JA	MCD	RWN
26%	26%	26%	26%	26%	26%	27%	27%	27%	27%
SUR	WLK	CMB	MI	WAY	CLE	FO	HO	MAC	MAD
27%	27%	28%	28%	28%	29%	29%	29%	29%	29%
YAN	AV	CAS	PAS	RU	SA	TRA	WSN	CRK	HY
29%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	31%	31%
MON	PI	AS	CLY	PAM	PQM	BFT	CWN	GHM	CO
31%	31%	32%	32%	32%	32%	33%	33%	33%	34%
DUP	BL	GRE	ALL	AN	BRT	MAR	TY	JON	LEN
34%	35%	35%	36%	36%	36%	36%	36%	37%	37%
VA	WAR	HER	HAL	WAS	ROB	ED	RI	SC	NO
37%	37%	38%	39%	41%	42%	43%	43%	44%	45%

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE


Population Percentage 0.04- 0.9% 1- 3.7% 5.1- 10.4%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: Children in Poverty is the percentage of children under age 18 living in poverty. The characteristics of the family used to determine the poverty threshold are: number of people, number of related children under 18, and whether or not the primary householder is over age 65. Family income is then compared to the poverty threshold; if that family's income is below that threshold, the family is in poverty. The unweighted average for this indicator is 27%.




# DISCONNECTED YOUTH (2017)



Youth that is Disconnected (%)





LEGEND



CAM	CRK	CWN	CLY	DAR	GAT	GHM	HY	MAC	MI
PQM	PO	SW	TRA	TY	WAS	WAT	YAN	AV	OR 4.4%
PI	WAK	JA	NH	DUR	GU	UN	CAR	MAD	ALA
8.1%	8.7%	10.4%	11.1%	11.8%	12%	12.1%	13%	13%	13.3%
HAY	JST	ME	CAT	CR	PAS	DVE	BFT	DVS	BUN
13.3%	13.4%	13.4%	13.5%	14%	14%	14.1%	14.4%	14.6%	15%
LEN	HAR	ON	ALX	CTM	FO	PEN	CMB	HEN	NA
15.1%	15.3%	15.4%	15.6%	15.6%	15.7%	15.7%	16%	16%	16%
LEE	STY	CAB	CLE	RWN	LI	PSN	HER	IR	WAY
16.3%	16.4%	16.5%	16.6%	16.6%	17.1%	17.3%	17.6%	18%	18.2%
GAS	ED	BR	CAL	MAR	MOO	WSN	RCK	RA	CUR
18.6%	19%	19.2%	19.2%	19.5%	19.8%	19.8%	20%	20.3%	20.5%
NO	AS	JON	BUR	RU	SA	MCD	FR	GRE	PAM
20.5%	20.9%	21.1%	22%	22%	22.2%	22.3%	22.5%	22.5%	22.5%
BL	MON	SC	DUP	SUR	WLK	CAS	HO	BRT	YAD
23.2%	23.5%	23.5%	23.6%	23.7%	24.3%	25%	25.3%	25.5%	25.6%
ROB	CO	WAR	RI	STK	VA	HAL	GVL	AN	ALL
25.8%	26.7%	26.7%	27%	27%	27%	28%	28.8%	29.3%	29.6%

← COUNTY NAME  
 ← FIGURE



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016


Note: Disconnected youth are teenagers and young adults between the ages of 16 and 24 who are neither working nor in school. These vulnerable young people are cut off from the people, institutions, and experiences that would otherwise help them develop the knowledge, skills and maturity necessary for a healthy lifestyle. The negative effects of youth disconnection ricochet across the economy, the social sector, the criminal justice system and the political landscape. The unweighted average for this indicator is 18.7%.



809- 3,316 (21)
3,317- 10,349 (33)
10,350- 16,100 [AVG] (19)
16,101- 35,620 (19)
35,621- 80,624 (6)
141,289- 156,377 (2)

Average Monthly Recipients

# AVERAGE MONTHLY RECIPIENTS OF FOOD STAMPS (2016)




LEGEND

TY	CAM	HY	GHM	CLY	GAT	PAM	ALL	CUR	AV
809	846	951	1,433	1,689	1,790	1,945	2,003	2,136	2,141
JON	MI	PO	SW	PQM	DAR	CWN	YAN	WAS	WAT
2,161	2,347	2,395	2,415	2,467	2,924	2,951	3,150	3,229	3,285
MAD	AS	GRE	CRK	CAS	WAR	ALX	YAD	MON	TRA
3,316	4,216	4,306	4,696	4,720	4,824	4,873	4,886	4,913	4,963
DVE	MAC	BRT	JA	MAR	NO	HER	AN	CTM	STK
5,109	5,194	5,246	5,309	5,409	5,587	6,089	6,144	6,146	6,217
PSN	BL	PAS	CAR	PEN	MCD	STY	HAY	BFT	HO
7,410	7,790	7,972	8,181	8,470	8,964	9,167	9,759	10,054	10,118
DUP	FR	LI	MOO	SC	LEE	HEN	OR	CO	SUR
10,120	10,314	10,326	10,349	10,936	11,093	11,363	11,814	12,732	13,045
SA	RI	WLK	GVL	RU	BUR	VA	CAL	LEN	IR
13,124	13,214	13,355	13,447	13,720	13,973	13,997	14,155	14,188	14,784
CR	HAL	BR	RCK	NA	ED	WSN	HAR	UN	RA
15,392	15,770	16,024	16,351	16,649	16,864	17,059	19,881	21,070	21,355
CLE	ON	CAT	ALA	RWN	WAY	DVS	NH	JST	PI
22,692	23,041	23,467	23,706	23,917	25,807	25,981	26,507	28,050	32,068
BUN	GAS	ROB	CAB	DUR	FO	CMB	WAK	ME	GU
32,972	35,620	41,331	41,492	42,011	57,455	66,913	80,624	141,289	156,377

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE

Population Percentage 0.04- 0.9% 1- 3.7% 5.1- 10.4%




Note: Average Monthly Recipients of Food Stamps is the average monthly number of persons during the state fiscal year who were recipients of the federally funded Food Stamp Program, which issues coupons to eligible recipients that are redeemable for food products at most retail food stores. Eligibility is based on income and resource guidelines issued by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.




# FOOD INSECURITY RATES PER COUNTY (2017)

Percent of County that is Food Insecure





↑ LEGEND



UN	CAM	CTM	CUR	HEN	DVE	LI	PO	TRA	YAD
11%	12%	12%	12%	12%	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%
ALX	ALL	AV	BUN	CAB	CAR	CAT	CRK	DAR	DVS
14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%
HAY	IR	JST	MAC	MAD	MOO	RA	STK	SUR	WAK
14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%
AS	BR	BUR	CLY	MCD	MI	OR	PAM	STY	YAN
15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%
ALA	CAL	FR	GAS	GVL	JA	LEE	ON	PEN	RWN
16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%
WLK	CR	DUP	FO	GAT	HAR	ME	MON	NH	RCK
16%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%
RU	SA	BFT	CLE	DUR	GHM	GRE	PQM	PSN	SW
17%	17%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%
WAT	CAS	GU	HO	JON	WAY	CMB	HY	NA	TY
18%	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%
CWN	CO	MAR	PAS	PI	RI	AN	BL	LEN	ROB
21%	21%	21%	21%	21%	21%	22%	22%	22%	22%
WSN	WAR	BRT	NO	VA	WAS	HAL	HER	ED	SC
22%	23%	24%	24%	24%	24%	25%	25%	26%	26%

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016


Note: Food insecurity refers to USDA's measure of lack of access, at times, to enough food for an active, healthy life for all household members and limited or uncertain availability of nutritionally adequate foods. Food-insecure households are not necessarily food insecure all the time. The average rate for food insecurity in North Carolina is 17%.



# PERCENT OF STUDENTS ELIGIBLE FOR FREE OR REDUCED PRICE LUNCH (2017)


30%- 45% (13)
46%- 55% (20)
56%- 65% [AVG] (26)
66%- 75% (14)
76%- 85% (9)
86%- 99% (18)

*Percent of Students Eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch*



CAM	OR	UN	WAK	CUR	IR	WAT	DAR	MOO	CAR
30%	32%	34%	34%	36%	38%	39%	40%	43%	44%
DVE	LI	ON	CAB	CTM	JST	PEN	ALX	MAD	STK
44%	44%	44%	46%	46%	46%	50%	51%	51%	51%
NH	STY	BUN	CR	GAT	CAT	CLY	GVL	HAY	HEN
52%	52%	53%	54%	54%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%
TRA	YAD	YAN	DVS	SUR	SW	ALA	FO	JA	ME
55%	55%	55%	56%	56%	56%	57%	57%	57%	57%
MI	HAR	CAL	RA	DUR	PQM	AS	AV	CLE	CMB
57%	58%	59%	59%	60%	60%	61%	61%	61%	61%
GAS	PI	RWN	GU	BFT	CWN	GHM	MAC	PAS	LEE
61%	61%	61%	62%	63%	63%	64%	64%	65%	66%
PSN	NA	WAY	FR	HO	SA	DUP	BUR	HY	PO
66%	68%	69%	71%	71%	71%	72%	73%	73%	73%
MON	TY	GRE	AN	MCD	WSN	BR	CAS	HAL	CRK
74%	74%	75%	76%	76%	78%	79%	79%	82%	84%
CO	RU	ALL	PAM	MAR	RI	NO	VA	ED	BRT
84%	85%	86%	87%	89%	89%	90%	90%	91%	92%
WAR	ROB	JON	LEN	RCK	BL	HER	SC	WAS	WLK
92%	96%	98%	98%	98%	99%	99%	99%	99%	99%

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

*Note: Children Eligible for Free or Reduced Price Lunch is the percentage of children enrolled in public schools eligible for free or reduced price lunch. Eligibility for free or reduced price lunch is a way of measuring the effect of poverty on children. The North Carolina Average for this indicator is 65%.*




# PERCENT OF ALCOHOL-IMPAIRED DRIVING FATALITIES (2017)

0% - 8% (4)
9% - 25% (24)
26% - 30% [AVG] (23)
31% - 40% (37)
41% - 56% (12)

Percent of Alcohol-Impaired Fatalities

LEGEND




CAM	TY	GHM	CLY	JON	WAS	HAY	TRA	SW	PO
0%	0%	6%	8%	13%	13%	15%	16%	18%	19%
BL	MI	RU	ALX	AS	BUR	BUN	STY	NO	DAR
19%	20%	20%	21%	21%	21%	22%	22%	22%	23%
MCD	AN	CAB	CUR	HEN	YAN	JA	BRT	CTM	MAC
23%	23%	24%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	26%	26%
LEE	DVE	MAD	RWN	RI	LEN	ED	IR	SUR	WLK
26%	27%	27%	27%	27%	27%	28%	29%	29%	29%
MON	HY	CWN	WAR	SC	UN	CRK	JST	ALA	CO
29%	29%	29%	29%	29%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%
ROB	AV	PAM	GAS	NH	OR	GVL	RCK	DUR	PI
30%	31%	31%	31%	31%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%
YAD	ALL	CR	PQM	CMB	NA	PAS	BR	CLE	PSN
33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	34%	34%	34%
GU	DVS	RA	ON	DUP	GAT	SA	BFT	MAR	HAL
34%	35%	35%	35%	35%	35%	35%	35%	35%	35%
WAY	WSN	WAK	PEN	ME	CAT	FO	HAR	LI	MOO
36%	36%	37%	38%	38%	39%	39%	40%	41%	41%
CAL	GRE	CAR	WAT	FR	HO	HER	STK	CAS	VA
41%	42%	43%	43%	44%	45%	47%	48%	52%	56%

← COUNTY NAME

← FIGURE

Population Percentage



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths is the percentage of motor vehicle crash deaths with alcohol involvement. Approximately 17,000 Americans are killed annually in alcohol-related motor vehicle crashes. Binge/heavy drinkers account for most episodes of alcohol-impaired driving. The North Carolina average for alcohol-impaired driving fatalities is 30%.




# PREMATURE DEATH RATES (2017)

Years of potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 population

4,300- 6,100 (10)
6,101- 7,500 (30)
7,501- 8,100 [AVG] (20)
8,101- 10,300 (31)
10,301- 12,100 (9)


LEGEND



OR	WAK	WAT	CAM	HY	UN	ME	CTM	GAT	DUR
4,300	4,600	5,000	5,300	5,300	5,600	5,600	5,900	6,000	6,100
CAB	NH	AV	DVE	JST	GU	DAR	JA	LI	WAS
6,300	6,300	6,600	6,600	6,700	6,700	6,800	6,900	6,900	7,000
ALL	TRA	PAS	PEN	BUN	GRE	MOO	HEN	CWN	ON
7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,100	7,100	7,100	7,200	7,200
IR	FO	PQM	HO	ALX	PO	STK	DUP	CAR	PI
7,200	7,200	7,300	7,300	7,300	7,300	7,300	7,300	7,400	7,500
CLY	YAN	MI	CUR	GVL	TY	STY	CR	CAT	JON
7,600	7,600	7,600	7,600	7,600	7,700	7,700	7,800	7,800	7,900
FR	RA	MAC	LEE	WSN	ALA	WAR	MAD	HAR	SUR
7,900	7,900	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,100	8,100	8,100	8,100
HAY	WAY	BR	MCD	YAD	NA	CAS	MON	PSN	DVS
8,200	8,200	8,200	8,300	8,400	8,400	8,500	8,600	8,600	8,600
AS	MAR	GAS	RU	CAL	BRT	SA	BUR	RCK	CMB
8,800	8,900	8,900	9,000	9,000	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,300	9,300
PAM	BFT	WLK	RWN	CRK	RI	HER	LEN	AN	CLE
9,600	9,600	9,700	9,700	9,800	9,900	10,100	10,100	10,200	10,200
NO	VA	ED	HAL	BL	SC	GHM	SW	CO	ROB
10,300	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,100	11,100	11,400	11,900	12,100	12,100

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE

Population Percentage 0.04- 0.9% 1- 3.7% 5.1- 10.4%




Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: Premature Death is the years of potential life lost before age 75 (YPLL-75). Every death occurring before the age of 75 contributes to the total number of years of potential life lost. For example, a person dying at age 25 contributes 50 years of life lost, whereas a person who dies at age 65 contributes 10 years of life lost to a county's YPLL. The YPLL measure is presented as a rate per 100,000 population and is age-adjusted to the 2000 US population.




# TOBACCO SMOKING RATES PER COUNTY (2017)



Adult Smoking (%)



LEGEND



WAK	HEN	PO	ME	CAM	DAR	OR	TRA	BR	UN
14%	15%	15%	15%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%
CAR	CTM	MOO	PAM	BUN	DVE	IR	DUR	CUR	NH
16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%	17%	17%
CAB	MAC	AS	JST	PEN	MI	HY	CR	CAT	GAT
17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	18%	18%	18%	18%
PQM	CLY	GU	HAY	BUR	LEE	LI	RA	BFT	RWN
18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	18%
CRK	MAD	STK	AV	YAN	STY	DVS	FO	JA	JON
18%	18%	18%	18%	18%	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%
ALX	CMB	GAS	ALA	ALL	PAS	RCK	FR	MCD	PSN
19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%
SUR	GVL	YAD	CAS	CWN	WAT	RU	CLE	WAS	WSN
19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%
CAL	GHM	MON	DUP	MAR	NA	TY	ON	WAY	HAR
20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	21%	21%	21%
LEN	CO	SA	VA	WLK	BRT	BL	PI	AN	RI
21%	21%	21%	21%	21%	21%	21%	22%	22%	22%
NO	GRE	HO	SW	WAR	HAL	HER	ED	SC	ROB
22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	23%	26%	27%

← COUNTY NAME

← FIGURE



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: Adult Smoking is the percentage of the adult population (per county) that currently smokes every day or most days and has smoked at least 100 cigarettes in their lifetime. Measuring the prevalence of tobacco use in the population can alert communities to potential adverse health outcomes and can be valuable for assessing the need for cessation programs or the effectiveness of existing programs.




# TEEN BIRTH RATES PER COUNTY (2017)

Number of births per 1,000, female population ages 15-19

8- 9 (2)
18- 31 (20)
32- 41 [AVG] (24)
42- 54 (43)
55- 67 (11)


LEGEND



WAT	OR	CAM	WAK	NH	DAR	UN	AV	JA	PI
8	9	18	20	22	23	24	25	25	26
PO	GU	MAD	STK	CUR	TRA	CAR	BUN	PAS	ME
27	27	28	28	29	30	30	30	30	31
CTM	DVE	HY	CLY	FR	CAB	GAT	GVL	MOO	IR
31	31	32	32	32	33	33	33	34	34
DUR	PEN	LI	JON	ALA	CAS	POM	FO	ALX	HAR
34	34	34	34	34	35	36	36	37	37
BR	JST	CRK	YAD	HEN	DVS	CAT	HAY	RWN	STY
39	39	39	39	40	41	42	42	42	42
NA	BUR	RCK	SUR	BL	GAS	TY	PAM	MAC	PSN
42	43	43	43	43	44	44	45	45	45
CWN	HER	RA	CMB	CAL	WAR	AS	MI	YAN	RU
45	45	46	46	46	46	47	47	47	47
CLE	WAS	MAR	WLK	WAY	LEN	BRT	NO	GRE	DUP
47	47	47	47	48	49	49	49	49	50
HO	BFT	MCD	WSN	CO	AN	ALL	CR	LEE	HAL
50	51	51	51	51	51	52	54	54	55
SA	GHM	ED	MON	VA	ROB	ON	RI	SC	SW
57	61	61	63	63	63	64	64	64	67

COUNTY NAME  
FIGURE

Population Percentage



Note: Teen Births are the number of births per 1,000 female population, ages 15-19. Evidence suggests teen pregnancy significantly increases the risk of repeat pregnancy and of contracting a sexually transmitted infection (STI), both of which can result in adverse health outcomes for mothers, children, families, and communities. Additionally, there are strong ties between teen birth and poor socioeconomic outcomes. Teenage women who bear a child are much less likely to achieve an education level at or beyond high school.




8 (1)
18- 36 (6)
50- 125 (32)
153- 204 [AVG] (12)
209- 350 (15)
407- 714 (8)
965- 1,176 (2)

County Jail  
Population



NO COUNTY JAIL  
OR DID NOT REPORT (24)

# COUNTY JAIL AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (2016-17)



YAN	MI	AV	ALL	LI	RWN	ME	MOO	LEE	HO
AN	VA	JST	ED	GAT	CWN	PQM	CAM	BFT	HY
CO	PEN	GRE	TY	GHM	CLY	JON	PO	WAS	CUR
WAR	WAT	HER	JA	MAD	DVE	MAC	DAR	STK	CTM
36	50	55	59	64	67	67	68	68	73
CAS	NO	PAM	TRA	SW	ALX	BL	RI	BRT	MAR
74	75	75	75	78	85	85	85	88	88
MON	YAD	AS	CRK	DUP	GVL	HAL	PSN	STY	HAY
91	93	95	95	100	100	100	104	104	109
SC	OR	CAR	BUR	HEN	MCD	SUR	PAS	WSN	RCK
120	122	125	153	155	155	157	160	165	170
RU	WLK	FR	CAL	HAR	UN	SA	RA	WAY	NA
170	175	186	197	200	209	225	230	230	260
CR	CLE	DVS	LEN	CAB	CAT	ROB	IR	BR	ON
263	275	280	283	285	328	329	330	350	350
ALA	BUN	PI	DUR	GAS	NH	FO	CMB	GU	WAK
407	421	442	482	489	555	686	714	965	1,176

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: The Average Daily Population is the average number of inmates held in the county jail per day. Inmate numbers vary over the course of a year. The unweighted average for this indicator is 204 inmates.




# JUVENILE CRIME RATE (2016)

*Juvenile Crime Rate  
per 1,000 Age 6 to 15*

1.5 (1)
5.4- 12.5 (22)
13.2- 17.4 (17)
18.2- 20.9 [AVG] (18)
21- 27.8 (23)
29.8- 50.3 (18)
73.8 (1)

↑ LEGEND





CAM	OR	JST	BL	GAT	CLY	GRE	WAS	VA	MON
1.5	5.4	6.1	6.4	6.4	6.9	7.3	7.4	8.1	8.5
MAD	PO	HY	DUR	WAK	GVL	BRT	GHM	CAB	STY
8.5	8.8	8.8	9.1	9.3	9.4	9.9	10.2	10.4	11.1
MI	BUN	DAR	WAY	WAT	SA	CR	RCK	CO	PAM
12.0	12.3	12.5	13.2	13.7	13.9	14.1	14.2	14.7	14.9
CAS	CRK	CUR	CAT	UN	NH	RWN	HEN	HAR	FR
15.0	15.1	15.4	16.0	16.1	16.3	16.4	17.0	17.2	17.4
RA	TRA	HAY	CAL	ALA	AS	DVS	SW	RU	GU
18.2	18.2	18.3	18.5	18.6	18.6	18.9	19.0	19.4	19.7
STK	GAS	SUR	CTM	HER	ON	HO	WLK	MOO	YAN
19.7	20.0	20.3	20.3	20.5	20.6	20.8	20.9	21.0	21.4
CMB	WSN	YAD	NA	TY	JON	ME	CAR	BUR	DVE
21.4	21.6	22.1	22.6	22.7	23.0	23.1	23.2	23.6	23.9
LI	DUP	RI	PAS	FO	PEN	AN	MCD	PSN	NO
24.0	24.4	24.6	25.0	25.2	25.2	25.5	26.0	26.0	27.4
JA	ALX	ROB	ED	WAR	IR	ALL	BR	PQM	BFT
27.8	29.8	30.2	31.1	31.1	31.8	31.8	32.3	32.4	33.3
MAC	LEN	LEE	PI	SC	CLE	MAR	AV	HAL	CWN
34.2	37.8	38.3	38.9	39.4	39.8	44.1	49.2	50.3	73.8

← COUNTY NAME

← FIGURE

Population Percentage



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

*Note: Juvenile Crime Rate is the rate of delinquent offenses per 1,000 youth, age 6-15, times 1,000. The unweighted average for this indicator is 21%.*




# PROPERTY CRIME RATE (2015)

Property Crime Rate  
(per 100,000 people)

NO DATA (5)

586- 676 (2)
1,004- 1,444 (10)
1,536- 2,076 (24)
2,151- 2,479 [AVG] (16)
2,486- 3,087 (26)
3,357- 4,852 (16)
5,674 (1)



LEGEND



GAT	HY	JON	MI	WAS	YAN	CAM	MAD	AV	ALL
AS	PQM	PO	TRA	TY	WAR	WAT	CUR	FR	ALX
1,173	1,235	1,349	1,388	1,390	1,396	1,444	1,536	1,619	1,660
BR	HEN	PAM	CAS	WLK	LI	MOO	HO	CLE	CTM
1,684	1,742	1,806	1,809	1,828	1,862	1,868	1,871	1,890	1,919
STK	CWN	CAB	WAK	OR	DUP	BUR	DVE	UN	JST
1,921	1,927	1,977	1,990	2,032	2,038	2,050	2,056	2,059	2,062
PSN	BRT	LEE	DVS	SA	HAR	PEN	YAD	STY	CR
2,076	2,151	2,156	2,167	2,183	2,200	2,213	2,215	2,257	2,260
BFT	HAY	BL	RWN	IR	RA	MAC	PAS	AN	ALA
2,283	2,283	2,315	2,416	2,428	2,431	2,469	2,486	2,496	2,554
HER	NO	GVL	JA	RCK	CAR	GHM	NA	MON	SW
2,576	2,580	2,602	2,611	2,625	2,626	2,628	2,651	2,660	2,664
SUR	ON	BUN	ED	CAT	PI	RU	CRK	CAL	CLY
2,700	2,704	2,710	2,751	2,838	2,866	2,882	2,888	2,937	2,955
GU	GAS	MCD	WSN	LEN	WAY	MAR	CO	NH	ME
3,014	3,041	3,087	3,357	3,459	3,606	3,614	3,630	3,639	3,663
GRE	DAR	HAL	DUR	VA	SC	FO	CMB	RI	ROB
3,774	3,843	3,988	4,138	4,273	4,276	4,534	4,552	4,852	5,674

← COUNTY NAME

← FIGURE



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: Property Crime Rate is the number of property crimes committed, normalized per every 100,000 people.




# VIOLENT CRIME RATE (2017)

62- 78 (5)
107- 183 (28)
192- 275 [AVG] (25)
276- 476 (25)
514- 552 (7)
601- 707 (5)

*Violent Crime Rate*





↑ LEGEND



GAT	GHM	HY	JON	MI	CAM	PO	MAD	YAN	AS
62	68	70	72	78	107	113	121	130	137
137	139	139	143	147	149	149	150	154	154
157	158	158	164	164	165	167	171	174	175
182	183	183	192	195	196	196	203	208	210
211	216	217	219	221	224	225	225	231	243
245	257	259	260	262	272	274	274	277	278
288	288	289	299	300	308	312	316	325	328
329	346	355	384	389	394	396	419	428	429
443	446	476	514	528	532	537	541	546	552
601	613	633	650	707					

← COUNTY NAME

← FIGURE



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

*Note: Violent Crime Rate is the number of violent crimes reported per 100,000 population. Violent crimes are defined as offenses that involve face-to-face confrontation between the victim and the perpetrator, including homicide, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault.*




# MEDIAN AGE (2015)

Median Age



26 (1)
30-36 (11)
37-40 (24)
41-43 [AVG] (29)
44-46 (22)
47-51 (13)

LEGEND



ON	WAT	CMB	HO	PI	OR	DUR	HAR	ME	ROB
26	30	31	31	32	33	34	34	35	35
WAK	CR	CAB	GU	JA	JST	PAS	UN	WAY	FO
35	36	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	38
LEE	NH	ALA	DUP	GRE	SA	SC	AN	ED	FR
38	38	39	39	39	39	39	40	40	40
GAS	IR	RI	RWN	VA	WSN	BUN	CAM	CAT	CLE
40	40	40	40	40	40	41	41	41	41
GVL	MON	NA	RA	SW	ALX	CO	DVS	HAL	HER
41	41	41	41	41	42	42	42	42	42
LEN	LI	PEN	PSN	STY	BL	BUR	CAL	CUR	GAT
42	42	42	42	42	43	43	43	43	43
HY	MCD	RU	SUR	YAD	AV	DVE	MAD	RCK	TY
43	43	43	43	43	44	44	44	44	44
WLK	BFT	BRT	CAS	CWN	DAR	GHM	JON	MOO	STK
44	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
WAS	CAR	CTM	HEN	MAR	WAR	YAN	ALL	AS	HAY
45	46	46	46	46	46	46	47	47	47
MI	NO	PQM	MAC	BR	CRK	PAM	TRA	CLY	PO
47	47	48	49	50	50	50	50	51	51

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: The median age divides the age distribution of each county into two equal parts. One-half of the county's population is younger than the median age, and one-half is older. The median age for the state of North Carolina and for the United States is 38 years old. The unweighted average for median age in North Carolina is 42.




98- 298 (26)
299- 451 (26)
452- 685 [AVG] (16)
686- 995 (17)
996- 2,504 (12)
2,505- 5,437 (2)

*Number of  
County Employees*




# NUMBER OF COUNTY EMPLOYEES



COUNTY NAME	POM	GAT	ALL	CAM	JON	TY	HY	PAM	MAR
CWN	98	108	111	112	112	124	148	153	180
GHM	BRT	PO	YAN	AV	AS	MI	SW	CLY	MON
184	187	199	204	214	215	222	230	238	243
YAD	WAT	HER	WAS	MAD	GVL	STK	NO	DVE	WAR
272	273	285	289	290	294	298	309	312	316
CRK	SC	AN	VA	GRE	MCD	ALX	PAS	LEE	TRA
319	320	321	325	326	341	346	351	359	362
CAS	BFT	PSN	BL	RI	CUR	JA	PEN	HO	MAC
366	369	372	375	389	390	403	415	429	444
CAR	RU	STY	ED	CTM	WLK	HAY	SUR	FR	SA
445	445	451	485	488	496	502	513	515	525
CAL	DUP	CO	HAL	CR	MOO	BUR	RCK	NA	WSN
558	582	598	599	601	634	635	669	683	708
DAR	HEN	LEN	LI	RA	CLE	OR	HAR	DVS	RWN
732	749	779	781	797	820	873	877	894	933
WAY	ALA	BR	PI	IR	ROB	CAT	CAB	JST	UN
959	968	973	979	990	995	1,073	1,081	1,100	1,104
ON	BUN	GAS	NH	DUR	FO	CMB	GU	WAK	ME
1,233	1,505	1,517	1,745	2,043	2,330	2,380	2,504	4,014	5,437

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

*Note: This map displays the number of county government employees per district. Seven of these figures are from the NCACC's Budget and Tax Survey in 2015-16 because figures were not reported for 2016-17. The unweighted average for this indicator is 685 county employees.*




# PERCENT OF COUNTY POPULATION WHO ARE CIVILIAN VETERANS

Percent of County Population Who Are Civilian Veterans

4.4%- 5.4% (2)
6.4%- 7.9% (15)
8.1%- 8.9% (31)
9%- 9.9% [AVG] (23)
10%- 14.2% (22)
15.3%- 16.9% (4)
19.3%- 22.2% (3)


LEGEND



HY	OR	BRT	WAT	ME	BL	DUR	MAR	MI	PI
4.4%	5.4%	6.4%	6.5%	6.6%	6.7%	7%	7.2%	7.2%	7.2%
ROB	WAK	GRE	GU	HER	UN	VA	HAL	SA	SUR
7.2%	7.2%	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	7.7%	7.7%	7.9%	7.9%	8.1%
CAL	YAD	CLE	LEN	ALA	AV	ALX	IR	STY	SW
8.2%	8.2%	8.3%	8.3%	8.4%	8.4%	8.5%	8.5%	8.5%	8.5%
BUR	MON	PSN	YAN	CAB	CAT	DUP	ED	FR	GHM
8.6%	8.6%	8.6%	8.6%	8.7%	8.7%	8.7%	8.7%	8.7%	8.7%
NO	WAS	AS	CO	FO	RA	STK	WLK	CAS	DVS
8.7%	8.8%	8.9%	8.9%	8.9%	8.9%	8.9%	8.9%	9%	9%
GAS	LI	RU	WSN	BUN	MAD	MCD	RCK	ALL	GVL
9%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	9.3%	9.3%	9.3%	9.3%	9.4%	9.4%
AN	NA	NH	SC	BFT	TY	JST	RWN	RI	JA
9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	9.6%	9.6%	9.7%	9.7%	9.8%	9.9%
LEE	CTM	WAR	DVE	DAR	GAT	PO	PEN	HEN	CRK
9.9%	10%	10.3%	10.4%	10.7%	11%	11.3%	11.6%	11.9%	12%
HAY	MAC	CLY	MOO	CWN	PAS	TRA	JON	WAY	PQM
12%	12.4%	12.6%	12.8%	12.9%	12.9%	12.9%	13%	13.3%	13.6%
BR	PAM	HAR	HO	CAR	CUR	CR	CAM	CMB	ON
13.8%	14.1%	14.2%	15.3%	15.4%	15.8%	16.9%	19.3%	19.9%	22.2%

← COUNTY NAME  
← FIGURE

Population Percentage



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016

Note: Civilian Veterans include any individuals who have been discharged from the United States Military, are members of the reserves, or are active duty military members currently serving a civilian assignment.




# REGISTERED VOTER TURNOUT- 2016 GENERAL ELECTION

**Voter Turnout  
(2016 Gen. Election)**

52.1%- 52.9% (2)
56%- 64% (15)
64.5%- 67.3% [AVG] (26)
67.4%- 69.9% (34)
70%- 76.9% (23)

↑ LEGEND




ON	ROB	HO	SW	CUM	SC	CRK	PAS	HAR	AN
52.1%	52.9%	56%	58.1%	58.5%	59.5%	59.6%	59.7%	59.8%	60.8%
GAT	RI	CR	ED	HAL	CO	VA	PI	BRT	JA
62.3%	62.5%	63.1%	63.2%	63.4%	64%	64%	64.5%	64.5%	64.6%
NH	WAY	CAM	DUR	HER	WAT	GHM	NO	CAL	GAS
64.6%	64.6%	64.9%	64.9%	65.1%	65.1%	65.2%	65.2%	65.4%	65.5%
POM	ME	CLY	DAR	BUR	WSN	CUR	RU	LEN	MAD
65.5%	66%	66.1%	66.1%	66.2%	66.7%	66.9%	66.9%	67%	67%
RWN	FO	MCD	HY	PO	SA	BL	CLE	MAR	DUP
67%	67.1%	67.2%	67.6%	67.7%	67.7%	67.7%	67.9%	68%	68.2%
IR	CAB	CWN	JON	AV	HEN	MAC	CAT	RA	RCK
68.5%	68.6%	68.6%	68.7%	68.7%	68.8%	68.8%	68.9%	69%	69%
WAS	FR	HAY	JST	TRA	PEN	CAS	ALA	GRE	PAM
69%	69.1%	69.1%	69.1%	69.1%	69.2%	69.3%	69.3%	69.6%	69.6%
TY	DVS	UN	BUN	GU	LEE	MON	WAR	OR	SUR
69.6%	69.7%	69.7%	69.8%	69.8%	69.8%	69.8%	70%	70.2%	70.2%
NA	GVL	CAR	MI	LI	BR	AS	ALL	STK	PSN
70.4%	70.8%	70.9%	70.9%	71.2%	71.3%	71.5%	71.7%	71.8%	72.1%
BFT	YAN	MOO	STY	WLK	YAD	DVE	ALX	WAK	CTM
72.1%	72.2%	72.4%	72.4%	73%	73.1%	73.4%	74.8%	75.2%	76.9%


← COUNTY NAME

← FIGURE

Population Percentage



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2016



*Note: Percent Registered Voter Turnout is the percent of the total population 18 and over that is registered to vote, and that voted during the 2016 General Election (including early voters). The Statewide voter turnout was 69%.*









**NORTH CAROLINA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

353 EAST SIX FORKS ROAD

RALEIGH, NC 27603

(919) 715-2893 | [WWW.NCACC.ORG](http://WWW.NCACC.ORG)

©2017 NORTH CAROLINA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS