NC Opioid Settlements Measures Models



www.ncacc.org/ostat www.ncopioidsettlement.org

NC Opioid Settlements Measures Models



August 2023

The NC Association of County Commissioners Opioid Settlements Technical Assistance Team (NCACC OSTAT) strives to improve the health of NC communities and supports counties in planning for and utilizing opioid settlement funds, and maximizing impact through technical assistance, outreach, education, and collaboration. As such, OSTAT helps local governments meet their reporting requirements outlined in the NC Memorandum of Agreement (NC MOA) including the Annual Impact Report.

These measures models were designed to help local governments report on process, quality, and outcome measures associated with the planning and implementation of opioid abatement strategies. They served as the foundation for developing the Impact Report Measures Workbook, which local governments use to capture strategy-specific data for Annual Impact Report. We expect these measures models, and mechanics associated with the Annual Impact Report, to become more standardized and refined as the evaluation of opioid abatement strategies evolves.

Results-Based Accountability™ and logic model design fostered the creation of these measures models. Each measures model reflects the underlying logic of one of the 12 high-impact opioid abatement strategies listed in Exhibit A of the NC MOA. Each model lists the strategy name and has columns for activities, process measures, quality measures, outcome measures, indicators, and a results statement. Each model also contains a list of assumptions related to the various components of the model.

NCACC OSTAT, Injury Prevention Research Center (IPRC) at UNC-Chapel Hill, NC Department of Health and Human Services, and NC Department of Justice partnered closely to create the NC Opioid Settlement Measures Models. The following organizations were also essential to the creation of this collection of measures models and NCACC OSTAT is tremendously grateful for their contributions to this effort: Duke University Department of Population Health Sciences, UNC Formerly Incarcerated Transitions Program, Technical Assistance Collaborative, and Vital Strategies.

If you have questions about the NC Opioid Settlement Measures Models, or wish to reproduce any of its contents, please contact opioidsettlement@ncacc.org.

| <u> </u> | North Carolina Opioid Settlements – North Carolina Me | morandum of Agreement Exhibit A Strategy | /: #1, Collaborative Str | ategic Planning |) ¹ |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Activities | Process Measures ^{2, 5, 6, 7, 8} How much did you do? | Quality Measures ^{2, 5, 8} How well did you do it? | Outcome Measures ^{2, 5, 8} Is anyone better off? | Indicators ³ | Results Statement ³ |
| | Progra | m Level | | Population | |
| Staff Support | # of staff hired to lead Collaborative Strategic Planning efforts related to the Opioid Settlements | % of recommendations ⁹ offered that are approved by local officials % of stakeholder categories (as outlined in Exhibit C Item A Detail) that were met during the collaborative | % of recommendations ⁹ implemented during the reporting period | Reduce drug overdose deaths by 2038 Reduce illicit | All people in NC are healthy and have connections |
| Facilitation Services | # of meetings facilitated to support Collaborative Strategic Planning efforts related to the Opioid Settlements | strategic planning process % of stakeholders involved in collaborative strategic planning process who feel that they were heard in the | | drug overdose deaths by 2038 (subset measure of the above) | to supports and services within a |
| Activities listed in Exhibit C of the NC MOA | # of collaborative strategic plans produced, in which all of the following activities were completed: Diverse stakeholders engaged (Y/N) Facilitator designated (Y/N) Related planning efforts built upon (Y/N) Shared vision agreed upon (Y/N) Key indicator(s) identified (Y/N) Root causes explored and identified (Y/N) Potential strategies identified and evaluated (Y/N) Gaps in existing efforts identified (Y/N) Strategies prioritized (Y/N) Goals, measures, and evaluation plan identified (Y/N) Alignment of strategies considered (Y/N) Organizations identified (Y/N) Budgets and timelines developed (Y/N) Recommendations offered ⁹ (Y/N) | People with lived experience, from a directly impacted community, and/or people who use drugs are involved in the planning and implementation of your local government's overdose prevention and harm reduction work. ⁴ (Y/N) As part of your overdose prevention and harm reduction efforts, your local government has concrete partnerships with community-based organizations that work with historically marginalized populations. ⁴ (Y/N) | | Reduce drug overdose ED visits by 2038 | culture of care. |

- 1. Measures models help us understand the links between our activities and desired results. This series of models was developed to reflect the underlying logic of the 12 high impact, evidence-based opioid abatement strategies listed in NC MOA Exhibit A, which guides the work of local governments supported by funding from the National Opioid Settlements.
- 2. These models will be used to develop the Annual Impact Report Guide, which will list recommended measures for each Exhibit A strategy. For the 2023 FY, local governments can select the measures they choose to report on. Only one measure per measure type (i.e., process, quality, outcome) is required. The hope is that local governments will choose to report on more than minimum requirements.
- 3. The three indicators (i.e., long-term outcomes) and the results statement are the same for every Exhibit A strategy logic model. The 12 Exhibit A strategies create synergy that we hope will reduce the rates of drug overdose.
- 4. Measures that appear in multiple models are shown in bold. These include: "# of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served" and "# of naloxone kits distributed" as process measures; "% of participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services" as a quality measure; and, "# of community overdose reversals using naloxone" and "% of patients who report getting the social and emotional support they need" as program-level outcome measures. There are also four universal measures that ask: a) about involving people with lived/living experience, b) about partnerships with historically marginalized populations, c) # of naloxone kits distributed and d) # of community overdose reversals using naloxone.
- 5. Data for process measures, quality measures and program-level outcome measures will be available from the programs, projects, sites, etc. funded by opioid settlement funds. Data is also available for population-level outcomes from OSUAP Data Dashboard.
- 6. As the NC MOA states, local governments will report on "demographic information on the participation or performance of people of color and other historically marginalized groups"; local governments may use NCDHHS' definition for Historically Marginalized Populations, which states these populations "are often identified based on their race, ethnicity, social-economic status, geography, religion, language, sexual identity and disability status." For the collection of program-level demographic data, local governments and their subrecipients can securely store demographic data and keep individuals' demographic data confidential.
- 7. Baseline for process measures is "0".
- 8. When opioid settlement funds are braided with other funding to support programs, the resulting outputs and outcomes are proportionate to the level of funding.
- 9. One strategic plan may result in multiple recommendations that are presented to a local governing body.

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | North Carolina Memorandum of Agreeme on Treatment - Medication-Assisted Treatment | — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Activities | Process Measures ^{2, 5, 6, 7, 9} How much did you do? | Quality Measures ^{2, 5, 9} How well did you do it? | Outcome Measures ^{2, 5, 9} Is anyone better off? | Indicators ³ | Results Statement ³ |
| | Program Level | | Program Level & Population Level | Population | Level |
| Opioid Treatment Programs (OTPs) Qualified Providers of Office-Based Opioid Treatment (OBOT) ¹² Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs) ¹² Other community-based programs ¹² | # of naloxone kits distributed ^{4, 10} # of OTPs that dispense methadone, buprenorphine, and naltrexone # of OTPs that dispense only methadone # of OTP-based medical providers who prescribe methadone for OUD patients ¹¹ # of referrals to opioid treatment programs # of unique patients with OUD served at OTP ^{4, 8} # of office-based clinics offering MOUD within county # of individual OBOT providers who prescribe buprenorphine for patients ¹¹ # of buprenorphine prescriptions provided at OBOT # of naltrexone doses provided at OBOT # of unique patients with OUD served at OBOT* # of unique patients with OUD served at OBOT* # of individual FQHC providers who prescribe buprenorphine for patients ¹¹ # of buprenorphine prescriptions provided at FQHC # of referrals to FQHC MAT services # of unique patients with OUD served at FQHC ^{4, 8} # of hospitals offering in-patient MOUD within county # of hospitalists who prescribe buprenorphine for patients ¹¹ # of buprenorphine prescriptions provided through in-patient services in hospitals # of naltrexone doses provided through in-patient services in hospitals | % of referrals to OTP services that resulted in 1st appointment attended % of referrals to OBOT services that resulted in 1st appointment attended at office-based clinic % of referrals to OBOT services that resulted in 1st appointment attended at FQHC % of patients, who have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services ⁴ | # of community overdose reversals using naloxone (program level) % of patients with OUD who adhere to treatment months after first appointment (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of patients who report getting the social and emotional support they need (program level) 4 # of patients with OUD who received evidence-based | Reduce drug overdose deaths by 2038 Reduce illicit drug overdose deaths by 2038 (subset measure of the above) Reduce drug overdose ED visits by 2038 | All people in NC are healthy and have connections to supports and services within a culture of care. |
| | # of referrals to in-patient MAT services # of unique hospital in-patients with OUD served with MAT ^{4, 8} # of emergency departments offering MOUD within county # of emergency department providers who prescribe buprenorphine for patients 11 # of short-term buprenorphine prescriptions (14 days or less) provided in the ED # of longer-term buprenorphine prescriptions (15 days or more) provided in the ED # of naltrexone doses provided in the emergency department # of referrals from emergency department to community providers # of unique emergency department patients with OUD served with MAT ^{4, 8} # of Local Health Departments (LHD) offering MOUD within county # of individual LHD-based providers who prescribe buprenorphine for patients 11 # of buprenorphine prescriptions provided at LHD # of naltrexone doses provided at LHD # of referrals to LHD MAT services # of unique patients with OUD served at LHD with MAT ^{4, 8} # of EMS programs offering MOUD within county # of individual EMS providers who prescribe buprenorphine for patients 11 # of buprenorphine prescriptions provided through EMS-based MAT programs # of naltrexone doses through EMS-based MAT programs # of naltrexone doses through EMS-based MAT programs # of unique patients who received pre-hospital buprenorphine treatment from EMS during a non-fatal | People with lived experience, from a directly impacted community, and/or people who use drugs are involved in the planning and implementation of your local government's overdose prevention and harm reduction work. As part of your overdose prevention and harm reduction efforts, your local government has concrete partnerships with community-based organizations that work with historically marginalized populations. % of referrals to OBOT services that resulted in 1st appointment for treatment attended at LHD % of referrals to EMS-based MAT services that resulted in 1st appointment for treatment attended | addiction treatment services across programs and settings (population level) % of residents receiving dispensed buprenorphine prescriptions (population level) % of individuals with OUD served by treatment programs who are uninsured or Medicaid beneficiaries (population level) | | |
| Treatment offered in conjunction with justice system programs | # of unique patients who received pre-nospital pupieriorprime treatment from EMS during a non-ratal overdose encounter # of unique patients with OUD served through EMS-based MAT programs # of unique patients with OUD who declined services through EMS-based MAT programs ^{4, 8} # of Syringe Service Programs (SSPs) offering MOUD within county ¹¹ # of providers who prescribe buprenorphine for patients at/through an SSP # of buprenorphine prescriptions provided through SSPs # of naltrexone doses provided through SSPs # of referrals to SSP-based MAT services # of unique patients with OUD served through SSPs with MAT ^{4, 8} # of patients who are justice-involved that are referred to any MAT program ⁸ | % of referrals to SSP-based MAT services that resulted in 1st appointment for treatment attended % of referrals from justice system programs that resulted in 1st appointment for treatment attended | | WORTH ASSOCIATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE | CAROLINA PARIS |

North Carolina Opioid Settlements – North Carolina Memorandum of Agreement Exhibit A Strategy: #2, Evidence-based Addiction Treatment - Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT)¹

Assumptions and Definitions

- 1. Measures models help us understand the links between our activities and desired results. This series of models was developed to reflect the underlying logic of the 12 high impact, evidence-based opioid abatement strategies listed in NC MOA Exhibit A, which guides the work of local governments supported by funding from the National Opioid Settlements.
- 2. These models will be used to develop the Annual Impact Report Guide, which will list recommended measures for each Exhibit A strategy. For the 2023 FY, local governments can select the measures they choose to report on. Only one measure per measure type (i.e., process, quality, outcome) is required. The hope is that local governments will choose to report on more than minimum requirements.
- 3. The three indicators (i.e., long-term outcomes) and the results statement are the same for every Exhibit A strategy logic model. The 12 Exhibit A strategies create synergy that we hope will reduce the rates of drug overdose.
- 4. Measures that appear in multiple models are shown in bold. These include: "# of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served" and "# of naloxone kits distributed" as process measures; "% of participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services" as a quality measure; and, "# of community overdose reversals using naloxone" and "% of patients who report getting the social and emotional support they need" as program-level outcome measures. There are also four universal measures that ask: a) about involving people with lived/living experience, b) about partnerships with historically marginalized populations, c) # of naloxone kits distributed and d) # of community overdose reversals using naloxone.
- 5. Data for process measures, quality measures and program-level outcome measures will be available from the programs, projects, sites, etc. funded by opioid settlement funds. Data for population-level outcomes will be available, at the county- and state-level, from OSUAP Data Dashboard.
- 6. As the NC MOA states, local governments will report on "demographic information on the participation or performance of people of color and other historically marginalized groups"; local governments may use NCDHHS' definition for Historically Marginalized Populations, which states these populations "are often identified based on their race, ethnicity, social-economic status, geography, religion, language, sexual identity and disability status." For the collection of program-level demographic data, local governments and their subrecipients can securely store demographic data confidential.
- 7. Baseline for process measures is "0".
- 8. A unique patient may participate in multiple treatment programs so there may be some duplication of unique patients when numbers across programs, project, sites, etc., are aggregated for the strategy-specific impact report. A patient may receive services across local government boundaries (e.g., a person with OUD may reside in one county and receive services in another county). Number of referrals do not equate to number of unique patients because one individual may receive multiple referrals
- 9. When opioid settlement funds are braided with other funding to support programs, the resulting outputs and outcomes are proportionate to the level of funding.
- 10. A naloxone kit contains two doses.
- 11. A provider may participate in multiple support programs so there may be some duplication of # of providers.
- 12. Office-Based Opioid Treatment (OBOT) providers may operate in a variety of settings. The model distinguishes between OBOT providers in office-based clinics, FQHCs, and other community-based programs (i.e., hospitals, emergency departments, local health departments, EMS programs and syringe services programs.)



| Activities Procass Measures ^{2, 4, 9, 4} how much did you do? Per support specialists or care navigations Access to services Acc | | North Carolina Opioid Se | ettlements – North Carolina Memorandum of Ag | greement Exhibit A Strategy: #3. Recovery Supp | ort Services ¹ | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Peer support specialists or care navigators should be approximately and participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, served final participants with all participants with services and participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to recovery support services of the above) Access to services of cincluding addiction treatment, recovery support services of the program and/or have OUD, referred to addiction treatment, recovery support services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) 4 of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to recovery support services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) 5 of participants with OUD who retain housing at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) 6 of participants with OUD who retain housing at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) 7 of participants with ous opioids and/or have OUD, referred to recovery support services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) 8 of participants with OUD who retain housing at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) 8 of participants with OUD unique program with OUD unique program with out on the program and the program with recovers support services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) 8 of participants with OUD who retain housing at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) 8 of participants with OUD unique program with OUD unique primary healthcare services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) 8 of participants with OUD unique primary healthcare services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) 8 of participants with OUD unique primary healthcare services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) 8 of participants with OUD unique primary healthcare services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) 8 of participants with OUD unique primary healthcare services at months (pro | Activities | | | | Indicators ³ | |
| specialisis or care navigators navigators ## of fold contacts with all participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to furnove healthear or well-being ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare exervices are revention education, disease prevention education, etc.) ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare exervices prevention education, disease prevention education, etc.) ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare expressions and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ## o | | Pr | | | Population | Level |
| # of total contacts with all participants of the program with focutory support, participants of the program of treatment, recovery support, healthcare) Other services or supports needed to improve health or im | specialists or care | use opioids and/or have OUD, | | | overdose deaths | in NC are |
| # of naloxone kits distributed ^{4, 10} | Access to services (including addiction treatment, recovery support, harm reduction services, and primary healthcare) Other services or supports needed to improve health | # of total contacts with all participants of the program # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to addiction treatment # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to recovery supports (e.g., employment services, housing services, etc.) # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to harm reduction services (e.g., syringe and supply access, overdose prevention education, disease prevention, etc.) # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to other services # of peer support specialists/care navigators | % of staff with lived experience with OUD % of participants who received naloxone kit People with lived experience, from a directly impacted community, and/or people who use drugs are involved in the planning and implementation of your local government's overdose prevention and harm reduction work. As part of your overdose prevention and harm reduction efforts, your local government has concrete partnerships with community-based organizations that work with historically marginalized | through engagement with recovery support services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of participants with OUD who retain housing at months through engagement with recovery support services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of participants with OUD engaged with harm reduction services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of participants with OUD using primary healthcare services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of participants with OUD using other services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of patients who report getting the social and emotional support they need (program level) % of residents receiving dispensed buprenorphine prescriptions (population level) % of individuals with OUD served treatment programs by who are uninsured or Medicaid beneficiaries (population level) Unemployment rate (population level) | by 2038 Reduce illicit drug overdose deaths by 2038 (subset measure of the above) Reduce drug overdose ED | healthy and have connections to supports and services within a culture of |

- 1. Measures models help us understand the links between our activities and desired results. This series of models was developed to reflect the underlying logic of the 12 high impact, evidence-based opioid abatement strategies listed in NC MOA Exhibit A, which quides the work of local governments supported by funding from the National Opioid Settlements.
- 2. These models will be used to develop the Annual Impact Report Guide, which will list recommended measures for each Exhibit A strategy. For the 2023 FY, local governments can select the measures they choose to report on. Only one measure per measure type (i.e., process, quality, outcome) is required. The hope is that local governments will choose to report on more than minimum requirements.
- 3. The three indicators (i.e., long-term outcomes) and the results statement are the same for every Exhibit A strategy logic model. The 12 Exhibit A strategies create synergy that we hope will reduce the rates of drug overdose.
- 4. Measures that appear in multiple models are shown in bold. These include: "# of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served" and "# of naloxone kits distributed" as process measures; "% of participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services" as a quality measure; and, "# of community overdose reversals using naloxone" and "% of participants who report getting the social and emotional support they need" as program-level outcome measures. There are also four universal measures that ask: a) about involving people with lived/living experience, b) about partnerships with historically marginalized populations, c) # of naloxone kits distributed and d) # of community overdose reversals using naloxone.
- 5. Data for process measures, quality measures and program-level outcome measures will be available from the programs, projects, sites, etc. funded by opioid settlement funds. Data for population-level outcomes will be available, at the county- and state-level, from OSUAP Data Dashboard.

North Carolina Opioid Settlements – North Carolina Memorandum of Agreement Exhibit A Strategy: #3. Recovery Support Services1

- 6. As the NC MOA states, local governments will report on "demographic information on the participation or performance of people of color and other historically marginalized groups"; local governments may use NCDHHS' definition for Historically Marginalized Populations, which states these populations "are often identified based on their race, ethnicity, social-economic status, geography, religion, language, sexual identity and disability status." For the collection of program-level demographic data, local governments and their subrecipients can securely store demographic data confidential.
- 7. Baseline for process measures is "0".
- 8. A unique participant may participate in multiple support programs so there may be some duplication of unique participants when numbers across programs, project, sites, etc., are aggregated for the strategy-specific impact report. A participant may receive services across local government boundaries (e.g., a person with OUD may reside in one county and receive services in another county). Number of referrals do not equate to number of unique participants because one individual may receive multiple referrals.
- 9. When opioid settlement funds are braided with other funding to support programs, the resulting outputs and outcomes are proportionate to the level of funding.
- 10. A naloxone kit contains two doses.



| | North Carolina Opioid Settlements – North Caroli | ina Memorandum of Agreement Exhibit A Strat | egy: #4. Recovery Hous | ing Supports ¹ | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Activities | Process Measures ^{2, 5, 7, 9} How much did you do? | Quality Measures ^{2, 5, 9} How well did you do it? | Outcome Measures ^{2, 5, 9} Is anyone better off? | Indicators ³ | Results Statement ³ |
| | Duo amona I assal | | Program Level & Population | Domulation | Lavel |
| Α : () : | Program Level | | Level | Population | |
| Assist people in treatment or | # of unique participants, who have OUD, served ^{4, 6, 8} | % of participants with OUD who have been assisted with rent | # of Housing First or related | Reduce drug | All people in |
| recovery, or | # of people with OLID who received assistance with rent | % of participants with OUD who have been assisted with | programs available to connect | overdose deaths | NC are |
| people who use | # of people with OUD who received assistance with rent | application fees % of participants with OUD who have been assisted with | people who use drugs to housing | by 2038 | healthy and have |
| drugs, with: | # of people with OUD who received assistance with application fees | deposits | services (program level) | Reduce illicit drug | connections |
| -Rent | | % of participants with OUD who have been assisted with utilities | % of participants with OUD who | overdose deaths | to supports |
| -Application fees | # of people with OUD who received assistance with deposits | 70 of participants with OOD who have been assisted with dillities | retain permanent housing at | by 2038 (subset | and services |
| -Move-in deposits | Will deposite with deb who reserved assistance with deposite | % of participants with OUD who have achieved individual | months (program level, | measure of the | within a |
| -Utilities help | # of people with OUD who received assistance with utilities | plans/treatment goals | recommended measure at six | above) | culture of |
| | | pranto, a calancina godine | months) | | care. |
| Recovery | # of programs where access is not contingent on sobriety, min. | % of participants with OUD needing crisis | menuic) | Reduce drug | |
| housing to individuals | income requirements, lack of a criminal record, completion of | services/hospitalization | % of participants with OUD who | overdose ED visits | |
| receiving MAT | treatment, participation in services, or other unnecessary conditions | | retain permanent housing at one | by 2038 | |
| Toociving W/V | | Average # of days from initial referral to primary engagement | year (program level) | | |
| Landlord | # of programs with services which are informed by a harm-reduction | | , | | |
| incentive | philosophy that recognizes that drug and alcohol use and addiction | % of participants, who have OUD, who are satisfied w/ | % of participants who report | | |
| program | are a part of some tenants' lives | services ⁴ | getting the social and | | |
| | | | emotional support they need | | |
| Eviction | # of programs where substance use in and of itself, without other | People with lived experience, from a directly impacted | (program level) 4 | | |
| prevention | lease violations, is not considered a reason for eviction | community, and/or people who use drugs are involved in the | | | |
| program | # of programs in contact with the ULID funded Continuum of Core | planning and implementation of your local government's | # of community overdose | | |
| Rapid rehousing | # of programs in contact with the HUD-funded Continuum of Care (CoC) or Balance of State Continuum of Care (BoS CoC) for your area | overdose prevention and harm reduction work. 4 (Y/N) | reversals using naloxone | | |
| Taple Torrousing | or <u>balance of State Continuum of Care (bos CoC)</u> for your area | As part of your overdose prevention and harm reduction | (program level) ⁴ | | |
| Permanent | # of naloxone kits distributed ^{4, 10} | efforts, your local government has concrete partnerships | | | |
| supportive | TO HUIOXONG KILO UISUIDULGU | with community-based organizations that work with | % of housing & homelessness | | |
| housing | | historically marginalized populations. 4 (Y/N) | 211 calls (population level) | | |

- 1. Measures models help us understand the links between our activities and desired results. This series of models was developed to reflect the underlying logic of the 12 high impact, evidence-based opioid abatement strategies listed in NC MOA Exhibit A, which guides the work of local governments supported by funding from the National Opioid Settlements.
- 2. These models will be used to develop the Annual Impact Report Guide, which will list recommended measures for each Exhibit A strategy. For the 2023 FY, local governments can select the measures they choose to report on. Only one measure per measure type (i.e., process, quality, outcome) is required. The hope is that local governments will choose to report on more than minimum requirements.
- 3. The three indicators (i.e., long-term outcomes) and the results statement are the same for every Exhibit A strategy logic model. The 12 Exhibit A strategies create synergy that we hope will reduce the rates of drug overdose.
- 4. Measures that appear in multiple models are shown in bold. These include: "# of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served" and "# of naloxone kits distributed" as process measures; "% of participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services" as a quality measure; and, "# of community overdose reversals using naloxone" and "% of participants who report getting the social and emotional support they need" as program-level outcome measures. There are also four universal measures that ask: a) about involving people with lived/living experience, b) about partnerships with historically marginalized populations, c) # of naloxone kits distributed and d) # of community overdose reversals using naloxone.
- 5. Data for process measures, quality measures and program-level outcome measures will be available from the programs, projects, sites, etc. funded by opioid settlement funds. Data for population-level outcomes will be available, at the county- and state-level, from OSUAP Data Dashboard.
- 6. As the NC MOA states, local governments will report on "demographic information on the participation or performance of people of color and other historically marginalized groups"; local governments may use NCDHHS' definition for Historically Marginalized Populations, which states these populations "are often identified based on their race, ethnicity, social-economic status, geography, religion, language, sexual identity and disability status." For the collection of program-level demographic data, local governments and their subrecipients can securely store demographic data and keep individuals' demographic data confidential.
- 7. Baseline for process measures is "0".
- 8. A unique participant may participate in multiple support programs so there may be some duplication of unique participants when numbers across programs, project, sites, etc., are aggregated for the strategy-specific impact report. A participant may receive services across local government boundaries (e.g., a person with OUD may reside in one county and receive services in another county). Number of referrals do not equate to number of unique participants because one individual may receive multiple referrals.
- 9. When opioid settlement funds are braided with other funding to support programs, the resulting outputs and outcomes are proportionate to the level of funding.
- 10. A naloxone kit contains two doses.

Note: This model contains activities not listed in the Exhibit A description. Activities reflect best practices shared during the NCACC + NCDHHS Recovery Housing webinar.

| | North Carolina Opioid Settlements – North C | arolina Memorandum of Agreement Exhibit A S | trategy: #5. Employment-rel | ated Services ¹ | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Activities | Process Measures ^{2, 5, 7, 9} How much did you do? | Quality Measures ^{2, 5, 9} How well did you do it? | Outcome Measures ^{2, 5, 9} Is anyone better off? | Indicators ³ | Results Statement ³ | |
| | Program Level | | Program Level & Population Level | Populatior | ı Level | |
| Job training | # of unique participants, who have OUD, served ^{4, 6, 8} # of job training sessions offered | % of participants, who have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services ⁴ % of participants who showed improvement from pre-test to post-test in trainings | % of participants who received job- placement services that are employed months after placement (program level, | Reduce drug overdose deaths by 2038 | All people in NC are healthy and have | |
| Job skills | # of job skill building trainings offered | | recommended measure at six months) | Reduce illicit drug overdose deaths by 2038 | to supports and | |
| Job placement | # of people assisted with job placement | % of training participants who sought job placement services | % of participants who report getting the social and emotional | (subset measure of the above) | services within a | |
| Interview coaching | # of interview coaching session offered | % of interview coaching participants who improved interviewing skills % of resume review participants who improved resumes | support they need (program level) | Reduce drug | culture of care. | |
| Resume review | # of resume review sessions offered | % of participants who say they have the professional attire needed | Unemployment rate (population level) | Unemployment rate (population visits I | overdose ED visits by 2038 | |
| Professional attire | # of participants who received professional attire | % of participants in community college courses, who completed their course(s) | | | | |
| Relevant course at community colleges or vocational schools | # of participants in community college courses | | | | | |
| Transportation services | # of requests for transportation assistance fulfilled | % of requests for transportation assistance fulfilled | | | | |
| Transportation vouchers | # of transportation vouchers distributed | % of transportation vouchers used People with lived experience, from a directly impacted community, | | | | |
| Other supports | # of naloxone kits distributed ^{4, 10} | and/or people who use drugs are involved in the planning and implementation of your local government's overdose prevention and harm reduction work. 4 (Y/N) | | | | |
| | | As part of your overdose prevention and harm reduction efforts, your local government has concrete partnerships with community-based organizations that work with historically marginalized populations. ⁴ (Y/N) | # of community overdose reversals using naloxone (program level) 4 | NORTH | CAROLINA | |

- 1. Measures models help us understand the links between our activities and desired results. This series of models was developed to reflect the underlying logic of the 12 high impact, evidence-based opioid abatement strategies listed in NC MOA Exhibit A, which guides the work of local governments supported by funding from the National Opioid Settlements.
- 2. These models will be used to develop the Annual Impact Report Guide, which will list recommended measures for each Exhibit A strategy. For the 2023 FY, local governments can select the measures they choose to report on. Only one measure per measure type (i.e., process, quality, outcome) is required. The hope is that local governments will choose to report on more than minimum requirements.
- 3. The three indicators (i.e., long-term outcomes) and the results statement are the same for every Exhibit A strategy logic model. The 12 Exhibit A strategies create synergy that we hope will reduce the rates of drug overdose.

North Carolina Opioid Settlements – North Carolina Memorandum of Agreement Exhibit A Strategy: #5. Employment-related Services¹

- 4. Measures that appear in multiple models are shown in bold. These include: "# of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served" and "# of naloxone kits distributed" as process measures; "% of participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services" as a quality measure; and, "# of community overdose reversals using naloxone" and "% of participants who report getting the social and emotional support they need" as program-level outcome measures. There are also four universal measures that ask: a) about involving people with lived/living experience, b) about partnerships with historically marginalized populations, c) # of naloxone kits distributed and d) # of community overdose reversals using naloxone.
- 5. Data for process measures, quality measures and program-level outcome measures will be available from the programs, projects, sites, etc. funded by opioid settlement funds. Data for population-level outcomes will be available, at the county- and state-level, from OSUAP Data Dashboard.
- 6. As the NC MOA states, local governments will report on "demographic information on the participation or performance of people of color and other historically marginalized groups"; local governments may use NCDHHS' definition for Historically Marginalized Populations, which states these populations "are often identified based on their race, ethnicity, social-economic status, geography, religion, language, sexual identity and disability status." For the collection of program-level demographic data, local governments and their subrecipients can securely store demographic data and keep individuals' demographic data confidential.
- 7. Baseline for process measures is "0
- 8. A unique participant may participate in multiple support programs so there may be some duplication of unique participants when numbers across programs, project, sites, etc., are aggregated for the strategy-specific impact report. A participant may receive services across local government boundaries (e.g., a person with OUD may reside in one county and receive services in another county). Number of referrals do not equate to number of unique participants because one individual may receive multiple referrals
- 9. When opioid settlement funds are braided with other funding to support programs, the resulting outputs and outcomes are proportionate to the level of funding.
- 10. A naloxone kit contains two doses.



| | North Carolina Opioid Settlements – | North Carolina Memorandum of Agreement Exhibit A S | Strategy: #6. Early Inter | vention ¹ | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| Activities ¹¹ | Process Measures ^{2, 5, 7, 9} How much did you do? | Quality Measures ^{2, 5, 9} How well did you do it? | Outcome Measures ^{2, 5, 9} Is anyone better off? | Indicator ³ | Results Statement ³ |
| | Program | Level | Program Level & Population Level | Population | Level |
| Youth Mental Health First-Aid Peer-based programs | # of Youth Mental Health First-Aid training programs held # of unique participants trained in Mental Health First-Aid # of trainers who provide Youth Mental Health First-aid programs # of peer-based training programs held # of unique participants trained in peer-based program # of trainers who provide peer-based programs | % of participants who are satisfied w/ training % of participants who feel more confident in supporting children and adolescents who may be struggling % of participants who improved skills in supporting children and adolescents who may be struggling | % of participants who report using skills/knowledge gained in training (program level) % of participants who report getting the social and emotional support they need (program level) ⁴ | Reduce drug overdose deaths by 2038 Reduce illicit drug overdose deaths by 2038 (subset measure of the above) | All people in NC are healthy and have connections to supports and services within a |
| Training programs (including programs targeting parents, family members, caregivers, teachers, school staff, peers, neighbors, health or human services professionals, and others in contact with | # of other early intervention training programs held # of unique participants trained in other early intervention programs # of trainers who provide other early intervention programs | % of participants who improved knowledge in supporting children and adolescents who may be struggling People with lived experience, from a directly impacted community, and/or people who use drugs are involved in the planning and implementation of your local government's overdose prevention and harm reduction work. ⁴ (Y/N) As part of your overdose prevention and harm reduction efforts, your local government has concrete partnerships with community-based organizations that work with historically marginalized populations. ⁴ (Y/N) | % of short-term suspensions ¹² (program level) | Reduce drug overdose ED visits by 2038 | culture of care. |
| children/adolescents) | # of naloxone kits distributed ^{4, 10} | | # of community overdose reversals using naloxone (program level) 4 | | |

Assumptions and Definitions

- 1. Measures models help us understand the links between our activities and desired results. This series of models was developed to reflect the underlying logic of the 12 high impact, evidence-based opioid abatement strategies listed in NC MOA Exhibit A, which guides the work of local governments supported by funding from the National Opioid Settlements.
- 2. These models will be used to develop the Annual Impact Report Guide, which will list recommended measures for each Exhibit A strategy. For the 2023 FY, local governments can select the measures they choose to report on. Only one measure per measure type (i.e., process, quality, outcome) is required. The hope is that local governments will choose to report on more than minimum requirements.
- 3. The three indicators (i.e., long-term outcomes) and the results statement are the same for every Exhibit A strategy logic model. The 12 Exhibit A strategies create synergy that we hope will reduce the rates of drug overdose.
- 4. Measures that appear in multiple models are shown in bold. These include: "# of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served" and "# of naloxone kits distributed" as process measures; "% of participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services" as a quality measure; and, "# of community overdose reversals using naloxone" and "% of participants who report getting the social and emotional support they need" as program-level outcome measures. There are also four universal measures that ask: a) about involving people with lived/living experience, b) about partnerships with historically marginalized populations, c) # of naloxone kits distributed and d) # of community overdose reversals using naloxone.
- 5. Data for process measures, quality measures and program-level outcome measures will be available from the programs, projects, sites, etc. funded by opioid settlement funds. Data for population-level outcomes will be available, at the county- and state-level, from OSUAP Data Dashboard.
- 6. As the NC MOA states, local governments will report on "demographic information on the participation or performance of people of color and other historically marginalized groups"; local governments may use NCDHHS' definition for Historically Marginalized Populations, which states these populations "are often identified based on their race, ethnicity, social-economic status, geography, religion, language, sexual identity and disability status." For the collection of program-level demographic data, local governments and their subrecipients can securely store demographic data and keep individuals' demographic data confidential.
- 7. Baseline for process measures is "0".
- 8. A unique participant may participate in multiple support programs so there may be some duplication of unique participants when numbers across programs, project, sites, etc., are aggregated for the strategy-specific impact report. A participant may receive services across local government boundaries (e.g., a person with OUD may reside in one county and receive services in another county). Number of referrals do not equate to number of unique participants because one individual may receive multiple referrals.
- 9. When opioid settlement funds are braided with other funding to support programs, the resulting outputs and outcomes are proportionate to the level of funding.
- 10. A naloxone kit contains two doses.
- 11. Funded activities related to Early Intervention strategy may include programs, services, or trainings to encourage early identification and intervention for children or adolescents who may be struggling with problematic use of drugs or mental health conditions. Broad primary prevention activities (e.g., anti-drug campaigns) are not included in the Option A, Early Intervention strategy and are instead more appropriate for Option B under the NC MOA.
- 12. % of short-term suspensions (Number of out-of-school short-term suspensions in educational facilities for all grades per 100 students) is collected by NC Department of Public Instruction and an indicator for HNC 2030.

| | North Carolina Opioid | Settlements – North Carolina Memorandum of Agreemer | nt Exhibit A Strategy: #7. Nalox | one ¹ | |
|--|--|--|---|--|------------------------------------|
| Activities | Process Measures ^{2, 5, 7, 9} How much did you do? | Quality Measures ^{2, 5, 9} How well did you do it? | Outcome Measures ^{2, 5, 9} Is anyone better off? | Indicators ³ | Results Statement ³ |
| | | Program Level | Program Level & Population Level | Population | Level |
| Syringe Service Programs | # of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served ^{4, 6, 8} | % of participants, who have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services ⁴ % of naloxone distributed to EMS | # of community overdose reversals using naloxone (program level) 4 | Reduce drug overdose deaths by 2038 | All people in NC are healthy and |
| Post-overdose response teams | # of intramuscular naloxone kits distributed ^{4, 10} | % of naloxone distributed to hospital ED % of naloxone distributed to community-based organizations | # of patients who were visited by EMS more than once because of overdose (program level) | Reduce illicit drug overdose deaths by 2038 | have connections to supports and |
| Naloxone upon release from jail/prison | # of intranasal naloxone kits distributed ^{4, 10} | % of naloxone distributed to firefighters % of naloxone distributed to police % of those trained who report they know how to respond to an opioid overdose | # of patients who were admitted to the ED more than once because of overdose (program level) | (subset measure of the above) Reduce drug overdose ED | services within a culture of care. |
| EMS or hospital ED supplying naloxone to people at risk (or their social networks) | # of trainings on harm reduction (e.g., overdose prevention, safer use practice, disease prevention) provided | and administer naloxone # of months in past year that program had to ration naloxone The program has sufficient naloxone to respond to overdose situations. (Y/N) | % of patients who report getting the social and emotional support they need (program level) 4 | visits by 2038 | |
| Community-based orgs that provide services to people who use drugs | # of people trained in harm reduction # of naloxone trainings | People with lived experience, from a directly impacted community, and/or people who use drugs are involved in the planning and implementation of your local government's overdose prevention and harm reduction work. ⁴ (Y/N) | | | |
| Providing naloxone to first responders (firefighters/police) | # of people trained on naloxone | As part of your overdose prevention and harm reduction efforts, your local government has concrete partnerships with community-based organizations that work with historically marginalized populations. 4 (Y/N) | | | |

- 1. Measures models help us understand the links between our activities and desired results. This series of models was developed to reflect the underlying logic of the 12 high impact, evidence-based opioid abatement strategies listed in NC MOA Exhibit A, which guides the work of local governments supported by funding from the National Opioid Settlements.
- 2. These models will be used to develop the Annual Impact Report Guide, which will list recommended measures for each Exhibit A strategy. For the 2023 FY, local governments can select the measures they choose to report on. Only one measure per measure type (i.e., process, quality, outcome) is required. The hope is that local governments will choose to report on more than minimum requirements.
- 3. The three indicators (i.e., long-term outcomes) and the results statement are the same for every Exhibit A strategy logic model. The 12 Exhibit A strategies create synergy that we hope will reduce the rates of drug overdose.
- 4. Measures that appear in multiple models are shown in bold. These include: "# of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served" and "# of naloxone kits distributed" as process measures; "% of participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services" as a quality measure; and, "# of community overdose reversals using naloxone" and "% of participants who report getting the social and emotional support they need" as program-level outcome measures. There are also four universal measures that ask: a) about involving people with lived/living experience, b) about partnerships with historically marginalized populations, c) # of naloxone kits distributed and d) # of community overdose reversals using naloxone.
- 5. Data for process measures, quality measures and program-level outcome measures will be available from the programs, projects, sites, etc. funded by opioid settlement funds. Data for population-level outcomes will be available, at the county- and state-level, from OSUAP Data Dashboard.
- 6. As the NC MOA states, local governments will report on "demographic information on the participation or performance of people of color and other historically marginalized groups"; local governments may use NCDHHS' definition for Historically Marginalized Populations, which states these populations "are often identified based on their race, ethnicity, social-economic status, geography, religion, language, sexual identity and disability status." For the collection of program-level demographic data, local governments and their subrecipients can securely store demographic data and keep individuals' demographic data confidential.
- 7. Baseline for process measures is "0".
- 8. A unique participant may participate in multiple support programs so there may be some duplication of unique participants when numbers across programs, project, sites, etc., are aggregated for the strategy-specific impact report. A participant may receive services across local government boundaries (e.g., a person with OUD may reside in one county and receive services in another county). Number of referrals do not equate to number of unique participants because one individual may receive multiple referrals.
- 9. When opioid settlement funds are braided with other funding to support programs, the resulting outputs and outcomes are proportionate to the level of funding.
- 10. A naloxone kit contains two doses.

| Activities | Process Measures ^{2, 5, 7, 9} | Quality Measures ^{2, 5, 9} | Outcome Measures ^{2, 5, 9} | le dia stana 3 | Results |
|---|---|---|--|--|------------------------|
| | | How well ald you do it? | | | |
| Connect people who have experienced non-fatal drug overdoses to: addiction treatment, recovery support, harm reduction services, primary healthcare, | # of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served ^{4, 6, 8} # of established agency-level network partners # of referrals to PORT following an overdose reversal # of people who experience an overdose who agree to talk with a PORT member # of total contacts with all participants who use opioids and/or have OUD # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to addiction treatment # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to recovery supports (e.g., employment services, housing services, etc.) # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to harm reduction services (e.g., syringe and supply access, overdose prevention education, disease prevention, etc.) | % of participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services ⁴ % of EMS calls for opioid overdose People with lived experience, from a directly impacted community, and/or people who use drugs are involved in the planning and implementation of your local government's overdose prevention and harm reduction work. ⁴ (Y/N) As part of your overdose prevention and harm | Is anyone better off? Program Level & Population Level % of participants with OUD who adhere to addiction treatment at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of participants with OUD who have obtained employment at months, through engagement with recovery support services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of participants with OUD who retain housing at months through engagement with recovery support services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of participants with OUD engaged with harm reduction services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of participants with OUD using primary healthcare services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) # of community overdose reversals using naloxone (program level) 4 % of participants who report getting the social and emotional support they need (program level) 4 % of residents receiving dispensed buprenorphine prescriptions (population level) | Indicators³ Population Reduce drug overdose deaths by 2038 Reduce illicit drug overdose deaths by 2038 (subset measure of the above) Reduce drug overdose ED visits by 2038 | Statement ³ |
| and other services | # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to other services # of naloxone kits distributed ^{4, 10} | reduction efforts, your local government has concrete partnerships with community-based organizations that work with historically marginalized populations. 4 (Y/N) | % of individuals with OUD served by treatment programs who are uninsured or Medicaid beneficiaries (population level) % housing & homelessness 211 calls (population level) Unemployment rate (population level) | | |

- 1. Measures models help us understand the links between our activities and desired results. This series of models was developed to reflect the underlying logic of the 12 high impact, evidence-based opioid abatement strategies listed in NC MOA Exhibit A, which guides the work of local governments supported by funding from the National Opioid Settlements.
- 2. These models will be used to develop the Annual Impact Report Guide, which will list recommended measures for each Exhibit A strategy. For the 2023 FY, local governments can select the measures they choose to report on. Only one measure per measure type (i.e., process, quality, outcome) is required. The hope is that local governments will choose to report on more than minimum requirements.
- 3. The three indicators (i.e., long-term outcomes) and the results statement are the same for every Exhibit A strategy logic model. The 12 Exhibit A strategies create synergy that we hope will reduce the rates of drug overdose.
- 4. Measures that appear in multiple models are shown in bold. These include: "# of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served" and "# of naloxone kits distributed" as process measures; "% of participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services" as a quality measure; and, "# of community overdose reversals using naloxone" and "% of participants who report getting the social and emotional support they need" as program-level outcome measures. There are also four universal measures that ask: a) about involving people with lived/living experience, b) about partnerships with historically marginalized populations, c) # of naloxone kits distributed and d) # of community overdose reversals using naloxone.

COUNTY

North Carolina Opioid Settlements – North Carolina Memorandum of Agreement Exhibit A Strategy: #8, Post-Overdose Response Team¹

- 5. Data for process measures, quality measures and program-level outcome measures will be available from the programs, projects, sites, etc. funded by opioid settlement funds. Data for population-level outcomes will be available, at the county- and state-level, from OSUAP Data Dashboard.
- 6. As the NC MOA states, local governments will report on "demographic information on the participation or performance of people of color and other historically marginalized groups"; local governments may use NCDHHS' definition for Historically Marginalized Populations, which states these populations "are often identified based on their race, ethnicity, social-economic status, geography, religion, language, sexual identity and disability status." For the collection of program-level demographic data, local governments and their subrecipients can securely store demographic data confidential.
- 7. Baseline for process measures is "0".
- 8. A unique participant may participate in multiple support programs so there may be some duplication of unique participants when numbers across programs, project, sites, etc., are aggregated for the strategy-specific impact report. A participant may receive services across local government boundaries (e.g., a person with OUD may reside in one county and receive services in another county). Number of referrals do not equate to number of unique participants because one individual may receive multiple referrals.
- 9. When opioid settlement funds are braided with other funding to support programs, the resulting outputs and outcomes are proportionate to the level of funding.
- 10. A naloxone kit contains two doses.



| | North Carolina Opioid Settler | nents – North Carolina Memorandum of A | Agreement Exhibit A Strategy: #9, Syringe Services | Program ¹ | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Activities | Process Measures ^{2, 5, 7, 9} How much did you do? | Quality Measures ^{2, 5, 9} How well did you do it? | Outcome Measures ^{2, 5, 9} Is anyone better off? | Indicators ³ | Results Statement ³ |
| | Program | Level | Program Level & Population Level | Population | on Level |
| Provide syringes Dispose of used syringes Provide Naloxone Provide other harm reduction supplies Connections to care (includes connection to prevention, treatment, recovery support, behavioral healthcare, primary healthcare, and other services) | # of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served ^{4, 6, 8} # of total contacts the program had with all participants # of syringes distributed # of types of supplies distributed (not count of individual items) # of trainings on harm reduction (e.g., overdose prevention, safer use practice, disease prevention) provided to participants # of participants trained on harm reduction (e.g., overdose prevention, safer use practice, disease prevention) # of participants the program referred to treatment for OUD # of participants the program referred to treatment for mental health services # of participants the program referred to primary care services # of participants the program referred to employment resources # of participants the program referred to housing resources # of naloxone kits distributed ^{4, 10} | % of participants who report they have enough sterile syringes to cover every injection between SSP visits % of participants who increase their knowledge of harm reduction practices Program has adequate supplies to meet the needs of your participants (Y/N) People with OUD are integral to the leadership and decision making of the organization providing the SSP (Y/N) Program demonstrates embodiment of the Principles of Harm Reduction (Y/N) People with lived experience, from a directly impacted community, and/or people who use drugs are involved in the planning and implementation of your local government's overdose prevention and harm reduction work. (Y/N) As part of your overdose prevention and harm reduction efforts, your local government has concrete partnerships with community-based organizations that work with historically marginalized populations. (Y/N) | " Program Level & Population Level % of participants with OUD engaged with SSP services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of participants with OUD who adhere to addiction treatment at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of participants with OUD using mental health services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of participants with OUD using primary healthcare services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of participants with OUD who have obtained employment at months, through engagement with recovery support services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of participants with OUD who retain housing at months through engagement with recovery support services at months (program level, recommended measure at six months) % of participants who report getting the social and emotional support they need (program level) ⁴ # of community overdose reversals using naloxone (program level) ⁴ % of residents receiving dispensed buprenorphine prescriptions (population level) % of individuals with OUD served by treatment programs who are uninsured or Medicaid beneficiaries (population level) Unemployment rate (population level) | Reduce drug overdose deaths by 2038 Reduce illicit drug overdose deaths by 2038 (subset measure of the above) Reduce drug overdose ED visits by 2038 | All people in NC are healthy and have connections to supports and services within a culture of care. |
| | " or maioxone kits distributed | | | 1 | |

1. Measures models help us understand the links between our activities and desired results. This series of models was developed to reflect the underlying logic of the 12 high impact, evidence-based opioid abatement strategies listed in NC MOA Exhibit A, which guides the work of local governments supported by funding from the National Opioid Settlements.

OF COUNTY

- 2. These models will be used to develop the Annual Impact Report Guide, which will list recommended measures for each Exhibit A strategy. For the 2023 FY, local governments can select the measures they choose to report on. Only one measure per measure type (i.e., process, quality, outcome) is required. The hope is that local governments will choose to report on more than minimum requirements.
- 3. The three indicators (i.e., long-term outcomes) and the results statement are the same for every Exhibit A strategy logic model. The 12 Exhibit A strategies create synergy that we hope will reduce the rates of drug overdose.

North Carolina Opioid Settlements – North Carolina Memorandum of Agreement Exhibit A Strategy: #9, Syringe Services Program¹

- 4. Measures that appear in multiple models are shown in bold. These include: "# of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served" and "# of naloxone kits distributed" as process measures; "% of participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services" as a quality measure; and, "# of community overdose reversals using naloxone" and "% of participants who report getting the social and emotional support they need" as program-level outcome measures. There are also four universal measures that ask: a) about involving people with lived/living experience, b) about partnerships with historically marginalized populations, c) # of naloxone kits distributed and d) # of community overdose reversals using naloxone.
- 5. Data for process measures, quality measures and program-level outcome measures will be available from the programs, projects, sites, etc. funded by opioid settlement funds. Data for population-level outcomes will be available, at the county- and state-level, from OSUAP Data Dashboard.
- 6. As the NC MOA states, local governments will report on "demographic information on the participation or performance of people of color and other historically marginalized groups"; local governments may use NCDHHS' definition for Historically Marginalized Populations, which states these populations "are often identified based on their race, ethnicity, social-economic status, geography, religion, language, sexual identity and disability status." For the collection of program-level demographic data, local governments and their subrecipients can securely store demographic data and keep individuals' demographic data confidential.
- 7. Baseline for process measures is "0".
- 8. A unique participant may participate in multiple support programs so there may be some duplication of unique participants when numbers across programs, project, sites, etc., are aggregated for the strategy-specific impact report. A participant may receive services across local government boundaries (e.g., a person with OUD may reside in one county and receive services in another county). Number of referrals do not equate to number of unique participants because one individual may receive multiple referrals.
- 9. When opioid settlement funds are braided with other funding to support programs, the resulting outputs and outcomes are proportionate to the level of funding.
- 10. A naloxone kit contains two doses.



| Activities | Process Measures ^{2, 5, 7, 9} How much did you do? | Quality Measures ^{2, 5, 9} How well did you do it? | Outcome Measures ^{2, 5, 9} Is anyone better off? | Indicators ³ | Results Statement ³ |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Program Level | | Program Level & Population Level | Population | Level |
| Pre-arrest diversion programs | # of 911 calls with primary concern related to substance use # of dispositions where person was transported to services by law enforcement # of dispositions where person was stabilized in community # of arrest diversion referrals to pre-arrest diversion programs by law enforcement # of social referrals to pre-arrest diversion programs by law enforcement # of intakes for pre-arrest diversion programs completed # of unique participants enrolled in pre-arrest diversion programs ^{4, 6, 8} # of full-time pre-arrest diversion program staff # of participants on staff caseload (average) # of contacts with pre-arrest diversion program participants per month | % of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services ⁴ % of 911 calls related to substance use concerns % of people arrested who screen positive for OUD % of law enforcement officers who have | % of referrals that resulted in enrollment in diversion program (program level) % of participants with OUD who adhere to treatment months after first appointment (program level) % of participants who have obtained/retained employment at months (program level) % of participants who obtained/retained | Reduce drug overdose deaths by 2038 Reduce illicit drug overdose deaths by 2038 (subset measure of the above) | All people in NC are healthy and have connections to supports and services within a culture of |
| Post-arrest diversion programs | # of people arrested who are screened for OUD # of referrals to post-arrest diversion programs by jail/correctional staff # of intakes for post-arrest diversion programs completed # of unique participants enrolled in post-arrest diversion programs ^{4, 6, 8} # of dedicated post-arrest diversion program staff # of contacts with post-arrest diversion program participants per month # of people at intake with no fixed address or address is shelter | People with lived experience, from a directly impacted community, and/or people who use drugs are involved in the planning and implementation of your local government's overdose prevention and harm reduction work. 4 (Y/N) | housing at months (program level) % of participants engaged with harm reduction services at months (program level) % of participants using primary healthcare | Reduce drug overdose ED visits by 2038 | care. |
| Pre-trial service programs | # of referrals to pre-trial service programs by court staff # of intakes for pre-trial service programs completed # of unique participants enrolled in pre-trial service programs ^{4, 6, 8} # of contacts with pre-trial service program participants per month # of initial hearings annually for people identified as having opioid use disorder | As part of your overdose prevention and harm reduction efforts, your local government has concrete partnerships with community-based organizations that work with historically marginalized populations. 4 | services at months (program level) % of participants using other services at months (program level) % of residents receiving dispensed | | |
| Connections to care (includes linkage to addiction treatment, | # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to addiction treatment ^{4, 8} # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to recovery supports (e.g., employment services, housing services, etc.) ^{4, 8} | (Y/N) % of participants connected to services | buprenorphine prescriptions (population level) % of individuals with OUD served by | | |
| recovery support, harm reduction services, primary healthcare, | # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to harm reduction services (e.g., syringe and supply access, overdose prevention education, disease prevention, etc.) 4, 8 | | treatment programs who are uninsured or Medicaid beneficiaries (population level) | | |
| prevention, and other services) | # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to primary healthcare ^{4, 8} # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, referred to other services ^{4, 8} | | % of housing & homelessness 211 calls (population level) Unemployment rate (population level) | | |
| Provide any of these services or support (listed in the parenthetical | # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, provided addiction treatment ^{4, 8} # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, provided with recovery support services (e.g., employment services, housing services, etc.) ^{4, 8} | % of participants provided with services | # of community overdose reversals using naloxone (program level) 4 | NORTH | CAROLINIA |
| above) | # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, provided with harm reduction services ^{4, 8} # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, provided with primary healthcare services ^{4, 8} # of participants who use opioids and/or have OUD, provided with other services ^{4, 8} | | % of participants who report getting the social and emotional support they need ⁴ (program level) | ASSOCIATION | SSIONERS |

North Carolina Opioid Settlements – North Carolina Memorandum of Agreement Exhibit A Strategy: #10, Criminal Justice Diversion Programs¹

- 1. Measures models help us understand the links between our activities and desired results. This series of models was developed to reflect the underlying logic of the 12 high impact, evidence-based opioid abatement strategies listed in NC MOA Exhibit A, which guides the work of local governments supported by funding from the National Opioid Settlements.
- 2. These models will be used to develop the Annual Impact Report Guide, which will list recommended measures for each Exhibit A strategy. For the 2023 FY, local governments can select the measures they choose to report on. Only one measure per measure type (i.e., process, quality, outcome) is required. The hope is that local governments will choose to report on more than minimum requirements.
- 3. The three indicators (i.e., long-term outcomes) and the results statement are the same for every Exhibit A strategy logic model. The 12 Exhibit A strategies create synergy that we hope will reduce the rates of drug overdose.
- 4. Measures that appear in multiple models are shown in bold. These include: "# of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served" and "# of naloxone kits distributed" as process measures; "% of participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services" as a quality measure; and, "# of community overdose reversals using naloxone" and "% of participants who report getting the social and emotional support they need" as program-level outcome measures. There are also four universal measures that ask: a) about involving people with lived/living experience, b) about partnerships with historically marginalized populations, c) # of naloxone kits distributed and d) # of community overdose reversals using naloxone.
- 5. Data for process measures, quality measures and program-level outcome measures will be available from the programs, projects, sites, etc. funded by opioid settlement funds. Data for population-level outcomes will be available, at the county- and state-level, from OSUAP Data Dashboard.
- 6. As the NC MOA states, local governments will report on "demographic information on the participation or performance of people of color and other historically marginalized groups"; local governments may use NCDHHS' definition for Historically Marginalized Populations, which states these populations "are often identified based on their race, ethnicity, social-economic status, geography, religion, language, sexual identity and disability status." For the collection of program-level demographic data, local governments and their subrecipients can securely store demographic data and keep individuals' demographic data confidential.
- 7. Baseline for process measures is "0".
- 8. A unique participant may participate in multiple support programs so there may be some duplication of unique participants when numbers across programs, project, sites, etc., are aggregated for the strategy-specific impact report. A participant may receive services across local government boundaries (e.g., a person with OUD may reside in one county and receive services in another county). Number of referrals do not equate to number of unique participants because one individual may receive multiple referrals.
- 9. When opioid settlement funds are braided with other funding to support programs, the resulting outputs and outcomes are proportionate to the level of funding.
- 10. A naloxone kit contains two doses.



| | North Carolina Opioid Settlements – North Carolina Memorandum of Agreement Exhibit A Strategy: #11, Treatment for Incarcerated People¹ | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Activities | Process Measures ^{2, 5, 7, 9} How much did you do? | Quality Measures ^{2, 5, 9} How well did you do it? | Outcome Measures ^{2, 5, 9} Is anyone better off? | Indicators ³ | Results Statement ³ | | |
| | Program Level | | Program Level & Population Level | Population | Level | | |
| MAT to persons | # of people who are incarcerated screened as having OUD | % of participants, who have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services⁴ | % of participants who are incarcerated that screen positive for OUD and then receive methadone in jail (program level) | Reduce drug overdose deaths | All people in NC are | | |
| incarcerated in jail or | # of people who are incarcerated who receive methadone for OUD # of people who are incarcerated who receive buprenorphine for | % of people who are incarcerated that are screened for OUD | % of participants who are incarcerated that screen positive for OUD and then receive buprenorphine in jail (program level) | by xx% by 2038 Reduce illicit | healthy and have connections | | |
| prisori | rison OUD # of people who are incarcerated who receive naltrexone for OUD # of people who are incarcerated who receive naltrexone for OUD # of people who are incarcerated who receive naltrexone for OUD # of people who increase knowledge about overdose prevention after attending group classes # of people who are incarcerated and screen positive for OUD and then receive naltrexone in jail (program level) | drug overdose deaths by xx% by | to supports | | | | |
| | # of group classes, for people who are incarcerated, held on overdose prevention | % of people who were incarcerated that upon | % of participants who are incarcerated who started MAT in jail (program level) | 2038 (subset measure of the above) | services within a culture of | | |
| | # of people who are incarcerated that attended group classes on overdose prevention | release received naloxone kits ⁴ People with lived experience, from a directly | % of participants who are incarcerated who were on MAT before entering jail and continued MAT in jail (program level) | Reduce drug | care. | | |
| | # of group classes, for staff, held on overdose prevention | impacted community, and/or people who use drugs are involved in the planning and | % of total deaths within jail that are due to overdose (program level) | overdose ED visits by xx% by | | | |
| | # of staff that attended group classes on overdose prevention | implementation of your local government's overdose prevention and harm reduction | % of participants who report getting the social and emotional | 2038 | | | |
| | # of naloxone kits distributed to people who were incarcerated upon release ^{4, 10} | work. 4 (Y/N) | support they need4 (program level) | | | | |
| | # of opioid overdose reversals using naloxone within the jail or prison | As part of your overdose prevention and harm reduction efforts, your local government has concrete partnerships with community-based | % of residents receiving dispensed buprenorphine prescriptions (population level) | | | | |
| | # of deaths due to overdose within the jail or prison | organizations that work with historically marginalized populations. 4 (Y/N) | % of individuals with OUD served by treatment programs who are uninsured or Medicaid beneficiaries (population level) | | | | |
| | # of referrals made for continued MAT support upon release | | # of community overdose reversals using naloxone (program level) 4 | | | | |

- 1. Measures models help us understand the links between our activities and desired results. This series of models was developed to reflect the underlying logic of the 12 high impact, evidence-based opioid abatement strategies listed in NC MOA Exhibit A, which guides the work of local governments supported by funding from the National Opioid Settlements.
- 2. These models will be used to develop the Annual Impact Report Guide, which will list recommended measures for each Exhibit A strategy. For the 2023 FY, local governments can select the measures they choose to report on. Only one measure per measure type (i.e., process, quality, outcome) is required. The hope is that local governments will choose to report on more than minimum requirements.
- 3. The three indicators (i.e., long-term outcomes) and the results statement are the same for every Exhibit A strategy logic model. The 12 Exhibit A strategies create synergy that we hope will reduce the rates of drug overdose.
- 4. Measures that appear in multiple models are shown in bold. These include: "# of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served" and "# of naloxone kits distributed" as process measures; "% of participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services" as a quality measure; and, "# of community overdose reversals using naloxone" and "% of participants who report getting the social and emotional support they need" as program-level outcome measures. There are also four universal measures that ask: a) about involving people with lived/living experience, b) about partnerships with historically marginalized populations, c) # of naloxone kits distributed and d) # of community overdose reversals using naloxone.
- 5. Data for process measures, quality measures and program-level outcome measures will be available from the programs, projects, sites, etc. funded by opioid settlement funds. Data for population-level outcomes will be available, at the county- and state-level, from OSUAP Data Dashboard.
- 6. As the NC MOA states, local governments will report on "demographic information on the participation or performance of people of color and other historically marginalized groups"; local governments may use NCDHHS' definition for Historically Marginalized Populations, which states these populations "are often identified based on their race, ethnicity, social-economic status, geography, religion, language, sexual identity and disability status." For the collection of program-level demographic data, local governments and their subrecipients can securely store demographic data confidential.
- 7. Baseline for process measures is "0".
- 8. A unique participant may participate in multiple support programs so there may be some duplication of unique participants when numbers across programs, project, sites, etc., are aggregated for the strategy-specific impact report. A participant may receive services across local government boundaries (e.g., a person with OUD may reside in one county and receive services in another county). Number of referrals do not equate to number of unique participants because one individual may receive multiple referrals.
- 9. When opioid settlement funds are braided with other funding to support programs, the resulting outputs and outcomes are proportionate to the level of funding.
- 10. A naloxone kit contains two doses.

| | North Carolina Opiolo Settlements – N | North Carolina Memorandum | of Agreement Exhibit A Strategy: #12, Reer | ntry' | |
|---|--|---|---|-------------------------|--|
| Activities | Process Measures ^{2, 5, 7, 9} How much did you do? | Quality Measures ^{2, 5, 9} How well did you do it? | Outcome Measures ^{2, 5, 9} Is anyone better off? | Indicators ³ | RBA: Results Statement ³ |
| | Program Level | | Program Level & Population Level | Population | n Level |
| Connections to care (includes connection to addiction treatment, recovery support, harm reduction services, primary healthcare, and other services) Provide any of these services or support (listed in the parenthetical above) | | | | | |
| | with other services # of naloxone kits distributed ^{4, 10} | | | 10 SOUN | (DED 1908 15) |

North Carolina Opioid Settlements – North Carolina Memorandum of Agreement Exhibit A Strategy: #12, Reentry¹

- 1. Measures models help us understand the links between our activities and desired results. This series of models was developed to reflect the underlying logic of the 12 high impact, evidence-based opioid abatement strategies listed in NC MOA Exhibit A which guides the work of local governments supported by funding from the National Opioid Settlements.
- 2. These models will be used to develop the Annual Impact Report Guide, which will list recommended measures for each Exhibit A strategy. For the 2023 FY, local governments can select the measures they choose to report on. Only one measure per measure type (i.e., process, quality, outcome) is required. The hope is that local governments will choose to report on more than minimum requirements.
- 3. The three indicators (i.e., long-term outcomes) and the results statement are the same for every Exhibit A strategy logic model. The 12 Exhibit A strategies create synergy that we hope will reduce the rates of drug overdose.
- 4. Measures that appear in multiple models are shown in bold. These include: "# of unique participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, served" and "# of naloxone kits distributed" as process measures; "% of participants, who use opioids and/or have OUD, who are satisfied w/ services" as a quality measure; and, "# of community overdose reversals using naloxone" and "% of participants who report getting the social and emotional support they need" as program-level outcome measures. There are also four universal measures that ask: a) about involving people with lived/living experience, b) about partnerships with historically marginalized populations, c) # of naloxone kits distributed and d) # of community overdose reversals using naloxone.
- 5. Data for process measures, quality measures and program-level outcome measures will be available from the programs, projects, sites, etc. funded by opioid settlement funds. Data for population-level outcomes will be available, at the county- and state-level, from OSUAP Data Dashboard.
- 6. As the NC MOA states, local governments will report on "demographic information on the participation or performance of people of color and other historically marginalized groups"; local governments may use NCDHHS' definition for Historically Marginalized Populations, which states these populations "are often identified based on their race, ethnicity, social-economic status, geography, religion, language, sexual identity and disability status." For the collection of program-level demographic data, local governments and their subrecipients can securely store demographic data and keep individuals' demographic data confidential.
- 7. Baseline for process measures is "0".
- 8. A unique participant may participate in multiple support programs so there may be some duplication of unique participants when numbers across programs, project, sites, etc., are aggregated for the strategy-specific impact report. A participant may receive services across local government boundaries (e.g., a person with OUD may reside in one county and receive services in another county). Number of referrals do not equate to number of unique participants because one individual may receive multiple referrals.
- 9. When opioid settlement funds are braided with other funding to support programs, the resulting outputs and outcomes are proportionate to the level of funding.
- 10. A naloxone kit contains two doses.
- 11. County-level data on the rate of people with OUD in the criminal legal system is difficult to collect. SUD/OUD can occur after someone has been incarcerated. A person may screen negative for OUD upon entering jail/prison and OUD may not be identified until release planning.



Contact Information

Nidhi Sachdeva Director of Strategic Health and Opioid Initiatives

Jill Rushing Senior Health Programs Manager and Evaluator

> Elizabeth Brewington Manager of Health Programs

> Samantha Jamison Strategic Project Coordinator

> opioidsettlement@ncacc.org

www.ncacc.org/ostat

www.ncopioidsettlement.org